arXiv:1403.6402v1 [math.AG] 25 Mar 2014

CRYSTALLINE ASPECTS OF GEOGRAPHY OF LOW DIMENSIONAL VARIETIES I: NUMEROLOGY

KIRTI JOSHI

Abstract. This is a modest attempt to study, in a systematic manner, the structure of low dimensional varieties in positive characteristics using p-adic invariants. The main objects of interest in this paper are surfaces and threefolds. It is known that there are many (counter) examples of "pathological" or unexpected behavior in surfaces and even of threefolds. Our focus has been on, obtaining systematically, general positive results instead of counter examples. There are many results we prove in this paper and not all can be listed in this abstract. Here are some of the results we prove: We show that $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2 + 6b_1$ holds for a large class of surfaces (of general type). We prove that for a smooth, projective, Hodge-Witt, minimal surface of general type (with additional assumptions such as slopes of Frobenius on $H^2_{cris}(X)$ are $\geq \frac{1}{2}$) that

$$c_1^2 \leq 5c_2.$$

Novelty of our method lies in our use of slopes of Frobenius (and Hodge-Witt assumption which allows us to control the slope spectral sequence). We also construct new birational invariants of surfaces. Applying our methods to threefolds, we characterise Calabi-Yau threefolds with $b_3 = 0$.

Torsten Ekedahl

In memoriam

Contents

1. Introduction 3
1.1. The problem of Chern number inequalities 3
1.2. Detailed outline of the paper 7
1.3. Acknowledgements 8
2. Notations and Preliminaries 9
2.1. Witt vectors 9
2.2. The Cartier-Dieudonne-Raynaud Algebra 9
2.3. Explicit description of R 9
2.4. Graded modules over R 9
2.5. Standing assumption 9
2.6. Canonical Filtration 9
2.7. Topology on R 10
2.8. Complete modules 10
2.9. Differential 10
2.10. Canonical Factorization 10
2.11. Profinite modules 10
2.12. Coherence 10
2.13. Dominoes 10

2.14. Dimension of a Domino 10
2.15. Filtration on dominoes 10
2.16. One dimensional dominoes 11
2.17. Domino devissage lemmas 11
2.18. Slope spectral sequence 11
2.19. Classical invariants of surfaces 11
2.20. Hodge-Noether formula 11
2.21. Hodge-Witt invariants and other invariants 11
2.22. Slope numbers 12
2.23. Dominoes in the slope spectral sequence 12
2.24. Hodge-Witt Numbers 12
2.25. Formulaire 12
2.26. Crew's Formula 13
2.27. Crew's Formula for surfaces 13
2.28. Hodge-Witt-Noether Formula 13
2.29. Mazur-Ogus and Deligne-Illusie varieties 13
2.30. Mazur-Ogus varieties 13
2.31. Deligne-Illusie varieties 14
2.32. Hodge de Rham degeneration and the Cartier operator 14
2.33. Locally closed and locally exact forms 14
2.34. Mazur-Ogus explicated for surfaces 15
2.35. Domino numbers of Mazur-Ogus varieties 17
2.36. Explicit formulae for domino numbers of hypersurfaces 17
3. Enriques Classification and negativity of $h^{1,1}_W$ 20
3.1. Main result of this section 20
3.2. Enriques' classification 20
3.3. Two proofs of non-negativity 20
3.4. The case $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ 21
3.5. Reduction to minimal model 21
3.6. The $\kappa(X) = 0$ 21
3.7. The case $\kappa(X) = 1$ 22
3.8. Proof of Theorem 3.1.1 22
4. Chern class inequalities 22
4.1. Elementary observations 22
4.2. Consequences of $h^{1,1}_W \geq 0$ 23
4.3. Surfaces for which $h^{1,1}_W \geq 0$ holds 24
4.4. Examples of Szpiro, Ekedahl 25
4.5. Weak Bogomolov-Miyaoka holds in characteristic zero 25
4.6. Lower bounds on $h^{1,1}_W$ 26
4.7. A class of surfaces general type surfaces for which $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$ 27
4.8. On $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$ for supersingular surfaces 31
4.9. Recurring Fantasy for ordinary surfaces 33
5. Enriques classification and Torsion in crystalline cohomology 34
5.1. $T^{0,2}$ is a birational invariant 35
5.2. Gros' Blowup Formula 35
5.3. Birational invariance of the domino of a surface 35

5.4. Proof of Theorem 5.3.1 35
5.5. Crystalline Torsion 37
5.6. Torsion of all types is invariant under blowups 37
5.7. $\kappa \leq 0$ means no exotic torsion 38
5.8. The case $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ 38
5.9. Surfaces with $\kappa(X) = 0$ 38
5.10. A criterion for non-existence of exotic torsion 39
6. Mehta's question for surfaces 39
6.1. Is torsion uniformizable? 39
6.2. Absence of exotic torsion 40
6.3. The case $\kappa(X) = 0$ 40
7. Hodge-Witt numbers of threefolds 40
7.1. Non-negative Hodge-Witt numbers of threefolds 41
7.2. Hodge-Witt Formulaire for Calabi-Yau threefolds 41
7.3. Calabi-Yau threefolds with negative $h^{1,2}_W$ 42
7.4. Calabi-Yau Conjecture 44
References 44

1. Introduction

This is a modest attempt to study, in a systematic manner, the structure of low dimensional varieties using p-adic invariants. The main objects of interest in this paper are surfaces and threefolds. It is known that there are many (counter) examples of "pathological" or unexpected behavior in surfaces and even of threefolds. Our focus has been on, obtaining systematically, general positive results instead of counter examples. Here are some questions which this paper attempts to address.

1.1. **The problem of Chern number inequalities.** We will take as thoroughly understood, the theory of algebraic surfaces over complex numbers. Let X/k be a smooth, projective surface over an algebraically closed field k, of characteristic $p > 0$. There has been considerable work, of great depth and beauty, on Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality in positive characteristic (as well as in characteristic zero). Despite this, our understanding of the issue remains, at the best, rather primitive. It is has been known for some time that the famous inequality of Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau (see [1], [28], [39]):

$$c_1^2 \leq 3c_2 \tag{1.1.1}$$

for Chern numbers of X fails in general. In fact it is possible to give examples of surfaces of general type in characteristic $p \geq 5$ such that

$$c_1^2 > pc_2, \tag{1.1.2}$$

and even surfaces for which

$$c_1^2 > p^n c_2, \tag{1.1.3}$$

for suitable $n \geq 1$. Much of the existent work on this subject has been carried out from the geometric point view. However as we point out in this paper, the problem is not only purely of *geometric* origin, but rather of *arithmetic* origin. More precisely, *infinite p-torsion*

of the *slope spectral sequence* intervenes in a crucial way, already, in any attempt to prove the weaker inequality ([6]):

$$c_1^2 \leq 5c_2. \tag{1.1.4}$$

Before proceeding further, let us dispel the notion that infinite torsion in the slope spectral sequence is in any way "pathological." Indeed any supersingular K3 surface; any abelian variety of dimension n and of p-rank at most $n-2$ and any product of smooth, projective curves with supersingular Jacobians, and even Fermat varieties of large degree (for p satisfying a suitable congruence modulo the degree) all have infinite torsion in the slope spectral sequence (this list is by no means exhaustive or complete) and as is well-known, this class of varieties is quite reasonable from every other geometric and cohomological point of view. So we must view the presence of infinite torsion as far from being pathological, rather as an entry of the *subtler arithmetic of the slope spectral sequence* of the variety *into the question of its geometry.*

The following questions arise at this point:

(1) What is the weakest inequality for Chern numbers which holds for a large class of surfaces?
(2) Is there a class of surfaces for which one can prove some inequality of the form $c_1^2 \leq Ac_2$ (with $A > 0$)?
(3) Where does the obstruction to Chern class inequality for X originate?

The questions are certainly quite vague, but also quite diffiult: as the examples of surfaces of general type with $c_1^2 > pc_2$ illustrates, and are not new (for example the first was proposed in [34]). In this paper we provide some answers to all of these questions. Our answers are not satisfactory, at least to us, but should serve as starting point for future investigations and to pose more precise questions.

Consider the first question. We show in Theorem 4.3.1 that

$$c_1^2 \leq 5c_2 + 6b_1$$

for a large class of surfaces. This class includes surfaces whose Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence degenerates at E_1 or which lift to W_2 and have torsion free crystalline cohomology (that is Mazur-Ogus surfaces), or surfaces which are ordinary (or more generally Hodge-Witt). *Moreover there also exists surfaces which do not satisfy this inequality.*

Readers familiar with geography of surfaces over $\mathbb{C}$ will recall that geography of surfaces over $\mathbb{C}$ is planar–with c_1^2, c_2 serving as variables in a plane and Bogomolov-Miyaoka line $c_1^2 = 3c_2$ representing the absolute boundary beyond which no surfaces of general type can live. On the other hand we show that the geography of surfaces in positive characteristic is non-planar. In fact it is three dimensional, involving variables c_1^2, c_2, b_1 (we think of b_1 as a coordinate direction rising above the c_1^2, c_2-plane) and $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2 + 6b_1$ serves as a natural boundary. But there are surfaces on the plane $c_1^2 = 5c_2 + 6b_1$ and well beyond it: the region $c_1^2 > 5c_2 + 6b_1$ is also populated. The surfaces which live in the region $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2 + 6b_1$ are the ones which can hope to understand.

Now let us consider the inequality $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$. In fact we show Proposition 4.1.4 that the inequality (studied in the classical case in [6]):

$$c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$$

is equivalent to the inequality

$$m^{1,1} - 2T^{0,2} \geq b_1,$$

where the term on the left is of de Rham-Witt (this comes from $T^{0,2}$ which is a measure of infinite torsion) and crystalline (coming from the $m^{1,1}$ term which is a measure of slopes of Frobenius in the second crystalline cohomology of X) in origin while the right hand side is a pure geometric term. This is the reason for our contention that the problem of the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau in positive characteristic is intimately related to understanding the influence of the infinite torsion in the slope spectral sequence.

For *ordinary surfaces* one sees at once from the above inequalities we are still faced with an inequality (as $T^{0,2} = 0$ by a Theorem of [19]):

$$m^{1,1} \geq b_1$$

and this is still non-trivial to prove (and we do not know how to prove it). If we assume in addition that X has torsion-free crystalline cohomology, then $m^{1,1} = h^{1,1}$ and hence the inequality is purely classical–involving Hodge and Betti numbers. Though the proof of the inequality in this case would, nevertheless be non-classical. In this sense the ordinary case is closest to the classical case, but it appears to us that this case nevertheless lies beyond classical geometric methods–one should think of this case as a quasi-geometric or quasi-classical case (we use the word "quasi" in these phrases in the sense of physics–"quasiclassical" is close to "classical" but also beyond it). At any rate we hope we have convinced the reader that the problem of chern class inequalities in positive characteristic is also of arithmetic origin. (For more on ordinary surfaces see below and also Subsection 4.9 further on).

In Proposition 4.7.1 (resp. Proposition 4.7.3) we give sufficient condition (in terms of slopes of Frobenius and geometric genus of X) for $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$ to hold for X minimal of general type and Hodge-Witt (and satisfying other reasonable conditions) resp. for X Mazur-Ogus (plus other reasonable conditions). Proposition 4.7.1 is used in the proof of Theorem 4.7.8.

Let us now discuss Theorem 4.7.8. As a further example of our point of view we prove in Theorem 4.7.8 that if X is a smooth, projective, minimal surface of general type satisfying

(1) $c_2 > 0$,
(2) $p_g > 0$,
(3) X is Hodge-Witt
(4) $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced or $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsion-free,
(5) and $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ has no slope $< \frac{1}{2}$.

Then

$$c_1^2 \leq 5c_2. \tag{1.1.5}$$

It seems to us that this is certainly not the most optimal result which can be obtained by our methods, but should serve as a starting point for understanding Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau type inequality for surfaces using slopes of Frobenius. *The novelty of our method lies in our use of slopes of Frobenius to prove such an inequality (when de Rham-Witt torsion is controlled–by the Hodge-Witt hypothesis).* Further it is evident that our hypothesis are not too unreasonable (except for the assumption on slopes–and this we do not know how to weaken at this point). At any rate the class of surfaces which satisfy our assumption is locally closed in moduli if we assume fixed chern classes. However it seems unlikely to us that our result can be established by purely geometric means.

In Subsection 4.9, which was also not included in any prior version of this paper, we describe a *recurring fantasy* (we are reluctant to call it a conjecture at this time, but on the other hand this persistent vision has refused to vanish or yield itself for almost a decade

now) to prove

$$c_1^2 \leq 5c_2 + 6$$

for a large class of *ordinary surfaces* and

$$c_1^2 \leq 6c_2$$

for all except a bounded family of *such* surfaces. This recurring fantasy should be considered the *de Rham-Witt avatar of van de Ven's Theorem* [6].

By now it should be evident that the obstruction(s) to proving chern class inequalities have their origin in the geometry and arithmetic of the surface. All these investigations stem from certain invariants of non-classical nature, called Hodge-Witt numbers, which were introduced by T. Ekedahl (see [11]) and use a remarkable formula of R. Crew (see loc. cit.) and in particular the Hodge-Witt number $h_W^{1,1}$ of surfaces. This number can be negative (and its negativity signals failure of chern class inequalities) and in fact it can be arbitrarily negative. Using Enriques' classification to show that the negativity of $h_W^{1,1}$ implies that the surface is either quasi-elliptic (so we are automatically in characteristic two or three) or the surface is of general type. Surfaces which do not satisfy $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2 + 6b_1$ are particularly extreme cases of failure of the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau. They all exhibit de Rham-Witt torsion, non-degeneration of Hodge de Rham or presence of crystalline torsion. In particular Szpiro's examples (see [38]) of surfaces which do not satisfy the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality have highly non-degenerate slope spectral sequence (and either have crystalline torsion or non-degenerate Hodge de Rham spectral sequence).

The other question of interest, especially for surfaces is: how do the various p-adic invariants reflect in the Enriques'-Kodaira classification? Of course, the behavior of cohomological invariants is quite well-understood. But in positive characteristic there are other invariants which are of a non-classical nature. In fact our first task here is to expand our list of birational invariants. The new invariants of surfaces are at moment defined only for smooth surfaces. But they are of birational nature in the sense that: two smooth, projective surfaces which are birational surfaces have the same invariants. These are: the V-torsion, the Néron-Severi torsion, the exotic torsion and the domino associated to the only potentially non-trivial differential

$$H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X)) \to H^2(X, W\Omega_X^1)$$

in slope spectral sequence of the surface; in particular the dimension of this domino, denoted here by $T^{0,2}$ is a birational invariant of smooth, projective surfaces. We also study torsion in $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ in terms of the Enriques' classification, making precise several results found in the existing literature.

Applying our methods to Calabi-Yau threefolds, we obtain a complete characterization (in any positive characteristic) of Calabi-Yau threefolds with negative Hodge-Witt numbers. These are are precisely the threefolds for which the Betti number $b_3 = 0$. In particular such threefolds cannot lift to characteristic zero. All known examples of non-liftable Calabi-Yau threefolds have this property and it is quite likely, at least if $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsion-free, that all non-liftable Calabi-Yau threefolds are of this sort. We expect that if a Calabi-Yau threefold has non-negative Hodge-Witt numbers and torsion free crystalline cohomology then it lifts to W_2 (for $p \geq 5$). The non-negativity of Hodge-Witt numbers (equivalent to $h_W^{1,2} \geq 0$, for Calabi-Yau threefolds) is equivalent to the geometric condition

$$c_3 \leq 2b_2.$$

Our conjecture is that if $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsion-free, equivalently if $H^0(X, \Omega^1_X) = 0$, for a Calabi-Yau threefold, and if this inequality holds then X lifts to W_2 (for $p \geq 5$).

1.2. **Detailed outline of the paper.** Here is a more detailed plan of the paper. In Section 2 we recall results in crystalline cohomology, and the theory of de Rham Witt complex which we use in this paper. Reader familiar with [16], [19], [9], [10] and [11] is strongly advised to skip this section.

In Section 3 we begin with one of the main themes of the paper. We begin by proving (see Theorem 2.35.1) that the domino numbers of a smooth, projective variety whose Hodge de Rham spectral sequence degenerates and whose crystalline cohomology is torsion free are completely determined by the Hodge numbers and the slope numbers (in other words they are completely determined by the Hodge numbers and the slopes of Frobenius). This had been previously proved by Ekedahl [18] for abelian varieties. In Subsection 2.36 we compute domino numbers of smooth hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n$ (for $n \leq 4$).

In Proposition 3.3.1 we note that for a smooth, projective surface $h^{1,1}_W$ is the only one which can be negative, the rest are non-negative. In Subsection 3.2 we use the Enriques classification of surfaces to prove that if the characteristic $p \geq 5$ and if $h^{1,1}_W$ is negative then X is of general type. In characteristics two and three there are exceptions to the assertions arising from certain quasi-elliptic surfaces. The result is proved by stepping through the classification and showing that for surfaces with Kodaira dimension at most one, $h^{1,1}_W$ is non-negative.

In Section 4 we take up the topic of Chern class inequalities of the type $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$ and $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2 + 6b_1$ (such inequalities were considered in [6]). In Theorem 4.3.1 this inequality is established for a large class of surfaces. In particular the inequality is proved for any smooth, projective surface (with $p \geq 3$) which lifts to W_2 and whose crystalline cohomology is torsion free, surfaces which are ordinary (or more generally, Hodge-Witt). In Proposition 4.1.4 we record the fact that the inequality $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$ is equivalent to the inequality $m^{1,1} - 2T^{0,2} \geq b_1$, an inequality with terms involving slopes of Frobenius, de Rham-Witt contributions and Betti number b_1. In Proposition 4.7.1 we show that for surfaces which are Hodge-Witt satisfy, amongst other hypothesis, the inequality $m^{1,1} \geq 2p_g$, the inequality $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$ holds. Here $m^{1,1}$ is the slope number (see 2.22 for the definition) and p_g is the geometric genus. In Proposition 4.7.3 we show that if X is Mazur-Ogus surface which satisfies, amongst other hypothesis, $m^{1,1} \geq 4p_g$, then $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$ holds.

In Subsection 4.6 we investigate lower bounds on $h^{1,1}_W$. For instance we note that if X is of general type then $-c_1^2 \leq h^{1,1}_W \leq h^{1,1}$, except possibly for $p \leq 7$ and X is fibred over a curve of genus at least two and the generic fibre is a singular rational curve of genus at most four. It seems rather optimistic to conjecture that if $b_1 \neq 0$ and $h^{1,1}_W < 0$ then $X \to \mathrm{Alb}(X)$ has one dimensional image (and so such surfaces admit a fibration with an irrational base).

In Subsection 4.1.4 we prove that $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$ holds for a large class of Hodge-Witt surfaces (see the statement of Theorem 4.7.8 for the full list of hypothesis).

In Subsection 4.9 we describe a recurring fantasy to prove $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2 + 6$ for a large class of ordinary surfaces (see Conjecture 4.9.4 and Theorem 4.9.9).

In Section 5 we digress a little from our main themes. This section may be well-known to the experts. We consider torsion in the second crystalline cohomology of X. It is well-known that torsion in the second cohomology of a smooth projective surface is a birational invariant, and in fact the following variant of this is true in positive characteristic (see

Proposition 5.6.1): torsion of every species (i.e. Néron-Severi, the V-torsion, and the exotic torsion) is a birational invariant. We also note that surfaces of Kodaira dimension at most zero do not have exotic torsion. The section ends with a criterion for absence of exotic torsion which is often useful in practice. In Proposition 5.1.1 we prove that if X' and X are two smooth surfaces which are birational then they have the same domino number (in the case the only one of interest is $T^{0,2}$). Thus this is a new birational invariant of smooth surfaces which lives only in positive characteristic. In Theorem 5.9.3 we show that if X is a smooth, projective surface of general type with exotic torsion then X has Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) \geq 1$. A surface with V-torsion must either have $\kappa(X) \geq 1$ or $\kappa(X) = 0$, $b_2 = 2$ and $p_g = 1$ or $p = 2$, $b_2 = 10$ and $p_g = 1$. This theorem is proved via Proposition 5.9.1 where we describe torsion in $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ for surfaces with Kodaira dimension zero.

In Section 6 we digress again from our main theme to answer a question of Mehta (for surfaces). We show that any smooth, projective surface X of Kodaira dimension at most zero has a Galois étale cover $X' \to X$ such that $H^2_{cris}(X'/W)$ is torsion free. Thus crystalline torsion in these situations, can in some sense, be uniformized, or controlled. We do not know if this result should be true without the assumption on Kodaira dimension.

In Section 7 we take up the study of Hodge-Witt numbers of smooth projective threefolds. In Section 7.3 take up the study of Calabi-Yau threefolds with negative $h^{1,2}_W$ (which is the only one which can be negative). In Theorem 7.3.1 we give a characterization, valid in any characteristic, of Calabi-Yau threefolds with negative $h^{1,2}_W$. All such threefolds must have $b_3 = 0$. Such threefolds, of course, cannot be lifted to characteristic zero. In Proposition 7.3.2 we note that the Hirokado and Schröer threefolds are examples of smooth, projective, Calabi-Yau threefold for which $h^{1,2}_W < 0$.

In [20] which is a thematic sequel (if we get around to finishing it) to this paper we will study the properties of a refined Artin invariant of families of Mazur-Ogus surfaces and related stratifications.

1.3. **Acknowledgements.** While bulk of this paper was written more than a decade ago, and after a few rejections from journals where I thought (rather naively) that the paper could (or perhaps should) appear, I lost interest in its publication and the paper has gestated at least since 2007. Over time there have been small additions which I have made (and I have rewritten the introduction to reflect my current thinking on this matter), but largely the paper remains unchanged. However among the notable additions are: Theorem 4.7.8 and Propositions 4.7.1 and Proposition 4.7.3 which are of later vintage (being proved around 2012-13); and Subsection 4.9.4 has matured for many years now, but has been added more recently; I also added a computation of Domino numbers of hypersurfaces Subsection 2.36 around 2009.

Untimely death of Torsten Ekedahl reminded me of this manuscript again and I decided to revive it from its slumber (though it takes a while to wake up after such a long slumber). I dedicate this paper to his memory. I never had the opportunity to meet him, but his work has been a source of inspiration for a long time. Along with Ekedahl, this paper clearly owes its existence to the work of Richard Crew, Luc Illusie and Michel Raynaud. I take this opportunity to thank Luc Illusie and Michel Raynaud for encouragement. Thanks are also due to Minhyong Kim for constant encouragement while this paper was being written (he was at Arizona at the time the paper was written). I would like to thank the Korea Institute of Advanced Study and especially thank the organizers of the International Workshop on

Arithmetic Geometry in the fall of 2001, where some of these results were announced, for support.

I have tried to keep this paper as self-contained as possible. This may leave the casual reader the feeling that these results are elementary, and to a certain extent they are; but we caution the reader that this feeling is ultimately illusory as we use deep work of Crew, Ekedahl, Illusie and Raynaud on the slope spectral sequence which runs into more than four hundred pages of rather profound and beautiful mathematics.

2. Notations and Preliminaries

2.1. **Witt vectors.** Let p be a prime number and let k be a perfect field of characteristic p. Let $\overline{k}$ be an algebraic closure of k. Let $W = W(k)$ be the ring of Witt vectors of k and let $W_n = W/p^n$ be the ring of Witt vectors of k of length $n \geq 1$. Let K be the quotient field of W. Let σ be the Frobenius morphism $x \mapsto x^p$ of k and let $\sigma : W \to W$ be its canonical lift to W. We will also write $\sigma : K \to K$ for the extension of $\sigma : W \to W$ to K.

2.2. **The Cartier-Dieudonne-Raynaud Algebra.** Following [19, page 90] we write R for the Cartier-Dieudonne-Raynaud algebra of k. Recall that R is a W-algebra generated by symbols F, V, d with the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} FV &= p \\ VF &= p \\ Fa &= \sigma(a)F \qquad \forall\, a \in W \\ aV &= V\sigma^{-1} \qquad \forall\, a \in W \\ d^2 &= 0 \\ FdV &= d \\ da &= ad \qquad \forall\, a \in W \end{aligned}$$

The Raynaud algebra is graded $R = R^0 \oplus R^1$ where R^0 is the W-subalgebra generated by symbols F, V with relations above and R^1 is generated as an R^0 bi-module by d (see [19, page 90]).

2.3. **Explicit description of R.** Every element of R can be written uniquely as a sum

$$\sum_{n>0} a_{-n}V^n + \sum_{n\geq 0} a_n F^n + \sum_{n>0} b_{-n}dV^n + \sum_{n\geq 0} b_n F^n d \tag{2.3.1}$$

where $a_n, b_n \in W$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see [19, page 90]).

2.4. **Graded modules over R.** Any graded R-module M can be thought of as a complex $M = M^\bullet$ where M^i for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ are R^0 modules and the differential $M^i \to M^{i+1}$ is given by d with $FdV = d$ (see [19, page 90]).

2.5. **Standing assumption.** From now on we will assume that all R-modules are graded.

2.6. **Canonical Filtration.** On any R-module M we define a filtration (see [19, page 92] by

$$\operatorname{Fil}^n M = V^n M + dV^n M \tag{2.6.1}$$

$$\operatorname{gr}^n M = \operatorname{Fil}^n M / \operatorname{Fil}^{n+1} M \tag{2.6.2}$$

In particular we set $R_n = R/\operatorname{Fil}^n R$.

2.7. **Topology on R.** We topologize an R module M by the linear topology given by $\mathrm{Fil}^n M$ (see [19, page 92]).

2.8. **Complete modules.** We write $\hat{M} = \mathrm{proj\,lim}_n M/\mathrm{Fil}^n M$ and call $\hat{M}$ the completion of M, and say M is complete if $\hat{M} = M$. Note that $\hat{M}$ is complete and one has $(\hat{M})^i = \mathrm{proj\,lim}_n M^i/\mathrm{Fil}^n M^i$ [19, section 1.3, page 90].

2.9. **Differential.** Let M be an R-module, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we let

$$Z^i M = \ker(d : M^i \to M^{i+1}) \tag{2.9.1}$$

$$B^i M = d(M^{i-1}) \tag{2.9.2}$$

The W-module $Z^i M$ is stable by F but not by V in general and we let

$$V^{-\infty} Z^i = \bigcap_{r \geq 0} V^{-r} Z^i \tag{2.9.3}$$

where $V^{-r} Z^i = \{x \in M^i | V^r(x) \in Z^i\}$. Then $V^{-\infty} Z^i$ is the largest R^0 submodule of Z^i and $M^i/V^{-\infty} Z^i$ has no V-torsion (see [19, page 93]).

The W-module B^i is stable by V but not in general by F. We let

$$F^{\infty} B^i = \bigcup_{s \geq 0} F^s B^i.$$

Then $F^{\infty} B^i$ is the smallest R^0-submodule of M^i which contains B^i (see [19, page 93]).

2.10. **Canonical Factorization.** The differential $M^{i-1} \to M^i$ factors canonically as

$$M^{i-1} \to M^{i-1}/V^{-\infty} Z^i \to F^{\infty} B^i \to M^i \tag{2.10.1}$$

(see [19, page 93, 1.4.5]) we write $\tilde{H}^i(M) = V^{-\infty} Z^i / F^{\infty} B^i$, is called the heart of the differential $d : M^i \to M^{i+1}$ and we will say that the differential is *heartless* if its heart is zero.

2.11. **Profinite modules.** A (graded) R-module M is profinite if M is complete and for all n, i the W-module $M^i/\mathrm{Fil}^n M^i$ is of finite length [19, Definition 2.1, page 97].

2.12. **Coherence.** A (graded) R-module M is coherent if it is of bounded degree, profinite and the hearts $\tilde{H}^i(M)$ are of finite type over W [19, Theorem 3.8, 3.9, page 118].

2.13. **Dominoes.** An R-module M is a domino if M is concentrated in two degrees (say) $0, 1$ and $V^{-\infty} M^0 = 0$ and $F^{\infty} B^1 = M^1$. If M is any R-module then the canonical factorization of $d : M^i \to M^{i+1}$, given in 2.10.1, gives a domino: $M^i/V^{-\infty} M^i \to F^{\infty} B^{i+1} M^i$ (see [19, 2.16, page 110]), which we call the *domino associated to* the differential $d : M^i \to M^{i+1}$.

2.14. **Dimension of a Domino.** Let M be a domino, then we define $T(M) = \dim_k M^0/VM^0$ and call it the dimension of the domino. If M is any R-module we write $T^i(M)$ for the dimension of the domino associated to the differential $M^i \to M^{i+1}$. It is standard that $T^i(M)$ is finite [19, Proposition 2.18, page 110].

2.15. **Filtration on dominoes.** Any domino M comes equipped with a finite decreasing filtration by R-submodules such that the graded pieces are certain standard one dimensional dominos U_j (see [19, Proposition 2.18, page 110]).

2.16. **One dimensional dominoes.** The U_j, one for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, provide a complete list of all the one dimensional dominos (see [19, Proposition 2.19, page 111]).

2.17. **Domino devissage lemmas.** Further by [11, Lemma 4.2, page 12] one has

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(U_i, U_j) = 0 \tag{2.17.1}$$

if $i > j$, and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(U_i, U_i) = k. \tag{2.17.2}$$

2.18. **Slope spectral sequence.** Let X be a scheme over k, we will write $H^*_{\mathrm{cris}}(X/W)$ for the crystalline cohomology of X, whenever this exists. If X is smooth and proper, in [16], one finds the construction of the de Rham Witt complex $W\Omega^\bullet_X$. The construction of this complex is functorial in X. This complex computes $H^*_{cris}(X/W)$ and one has a spectral sequence (the *slope spectral sequence* of X)

$$E_1^{i,j} = H^j(X, W\Omega^i_X) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}_{cris}(X/W).$$

The construction slope spectral sequence is also functorial in X and for each $j \geq 0$, $H^j(X, W\Omega^\bullet_X)$ is a graded R-module. Modulo torsion, the slope spectral sequence always degenerates at E_1. We say that X is *Hodge-Witt* if the slope spectral sequence degenerates at E_1.

2.19. **Classical invariants of surfaces.** Let X/k be a smooth projective surface. Then recall the following standard notation for numerical invariants of X. We will write $b_i = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} H^i_{et}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, $q = \dim \mathrm{Alb}(X) = \dim \mathrm{Pic}^{\,0}(X)_{\mathrm{red}}$; $2q = b_1$, $h^{ij} = \dim_k H^j(X, \Omega^i_X)$; and $p_g(X) = h^{0,2} = h^{2,0}$. Then one has the following form of the Noether's formula:

$$10 + 12p_g = K_X^2 + b_2 + 8q + 2(h^{0,1} - q), \tag{2.19.1}$$

where K_X is the canonical bundle of X and K_X^2 denotes the self intersection. The point is that all the terms are non-negative except possibly c_1^2. Further by [2, page 25] we have

$$0 \leq h^{0,1} - q \leq p_g. \tag{2.19.2}$$

Formula (2.19.1) is easily seen to be equivalent to the usual form of Noether's formula

$$12\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = c_1^2 + c_2 = c_1^2 + \chi_{et}(X). \tag{2.19.3}$$

2.20. **Hodge-Noether formula.** In addition, when the ground field $k = \mathbb{C}$, we get yet another form of Noether's formula which is a consequence of (2.19.3) and the Hodge decomposition:

$$h^{1,1} = 10\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - c_1^2 + b_1. \tag{2.20.1}$$

We will call this the *Hodge-Noether formula*.

2.21. **Hodge-Witt invariants and other invariants.** In the next few subsections we recall results on Hodge-Witt numbers [11, page 85] of surfaces and threefolds. We recall the definition of Hodge-Witt numbers and their basic properties.

2.22. **Slope numbers.** Let X be a smooth projective variety over a perfect field k. The slope numbers of X are defined by (see [11, page 85]):

$$\begin{aligned} m^{i,j} &= \sum_{\lambda\in[i-1,i)} (\lambda - i + 1)\dim_K H^{i+j}_{\text{cris}}(X/W)_{[\lambda]} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\lambda\in[i,i+1)} (i + 1 - \lambda)\dim_K H^{i+j}_{\text{cris}}(X/W)_{[\lambda]}. \end{aligned}$$

where the summation is over all the slopes of Frobenius λ in the indicated intervals.

2.23. **Dominoes in the slope spectral sequence.** Let X be a smooth projective variety. Then the domino numbers $T^{i,j}$ of X are defined by (see [11, page 85]):

$$T^{i,j} = \dim_k \mathrm{Dom}^{i,j}(H^\bullet(X, W\Omega^\bullet_X))$$

in other words, $T^{i,j}$ is the dimension of the domino associated to the differential

$$d : H^j(X, W\Omega^i_X) \to H^j(X, W\Omega^{i+1}_X). \tag{2.23.1}$$

2.24. **Hodge-Witt Numbers.** Let X be a smooth projective variety over a perfect field k. The Hodge-Witt numbers of X are defined by the formula (see [11, page 85]):

$$h^{i,j}_W = m^{i,j} + T^{i,j} - 2T^{i-1,j+1} + T^{i-2,j+2}.$$

2.25. **Formulaire.** The Hodge-Witt numbers, domino numbers and the slope numbers satisfy the following properties which we will now list. See [11] for details.

2.25.1. *Slope number symmetry.* For all i, j one has the symmetries (see [11, Lemma 3.1, page 112]):

$$m^{i,j} = m^{j,i} = m^{n-i,n-j},$$

the first is a consequence of Hard Lefschetz Theorem and the second is a consequence of Poincaré duality. Further these numbers are obviously non-negative:

$$m^{i,j} \geq 0.$$

2.25.2. *Theorems of Ekedahl.* The following formulae give relations to Betti numbers (see [11, Theorem 3.2, page 85]):

$$\sum_{i+j=n} m^{i,j} = \sum_{i+j=n} h^{i,j}_W = b_n$$

and one has Ekedahl's upper bound (see [11, Theorem 3.2, page 86]):

$$h^{i,j}_W \leq h^{i,j},$$

and if X is Mazur-Ogus (see Subsection 2.29 for the definition of a Mazur-Ogus variety) then we have

$$h^{i,j}_W = h^{i,j}.$$

2.25.3. *Ekedahl's duality.* One has the following fundamental duality relation for dominos due to Ekedahl (see [10, Corollary 3.5.1, page 226]): for all i, j, the domino $T^{i,j}$ is canonically dual to $T^{n-i-2,n-j+2}$ and in particular

$$T^{i,j} = T^{n-i-2,n-j+2}. \tag{2.25.1}$$

2.26. **Crew's Formula.** The Hodge and Hodge-Witt numbers of X satisfy a relation known as Crew's formula which we will use often in this paper. The formula is the following

$$\sum_j (-1)^j h_W^{i,j} = \chi(\Omega_X^i) = \sum_j (-1)^j h^{i,j}. \tag{2.26.1}$$

2.26.1. *Hodge-Witt symmetry.* For any smooth projective variety of dimension at most three we have for all i, j (see [11, Corollary 3.3(iii),page 113]):

$$h_W^{i,j} = h_W^{j,i}. \tag{2.26.2}$$

2.26.2. *Hodge-Witt Duality.* For any smooth projective variety of dimension n we have for all i, j (see [11, Corollary 3.2(i), page 113]):

$$h_W^{i,j} = h_W^{n-i,n-j}. \tag{2.26.3}$$

2.27. **Crew's Formula for surfaces.** For surfaces, the formulas in 2.26.1 take more explicit forms (see [11, page 85] and [17, page 64]). We recall them now as they will play a central role in our investigations. Let X/k be a smooth projective surface, K_X be its canonical divisor, T_X its tangent bundle, and let c_1^2, c_2 be the usual Chern invariants of X (so $c_i = c_i(T_X) = (-1)^i c_i(\Omega_X^1)$). Then the Hodge-Witt numbers of X are related to the other numerical invariants of X by means of the following formulae [11, page 114]:

$$h_W^{0,1} = h_W^{1,0} \tag{2.27.1}$$

$$h_W^{0,1} = b_1/2 \tag{2.27.2}$$

$$h_W^{0,2} = h_W^{2,0} \tag{2.27.3}$$

$$h_W^{0,2} = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - 1 + b_1/2 \tag{2.27.4}$$

$$h_W^{1,1} = b_1 + \frac{5}{6}c_2 - \frac{1}{6}c_1^2 \tag{2.27.5}$$

2.28. **Hodge-Witt-Noether Formula.** The formula for $h_W^{1,1}$ above and Noether's formula give the following variant of the Hodge-Noether formula of 2.20.1. We will call this variant the *Hodge-Witt-Noether* formula:

$$h_W^{1,1} = 10\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - c_1^2 + b_1. \tag{2.28.1}$$

This formula will be central to our study of surfaces in this paper.

2.29. **Mazur-Ogus and Deligne-Illusie varieties.** In the next few subsections we enumerate the properties of a class of varieties known as Mazur-Ogus varieties. We will use this class of varieties at several different points in this paper as well as its thematic sequels so we elaborate some of the properties of this class of varieties here.

2.30. **Mazur-Ogus varieties.** A smooth, projective variety over a perfect field k is said to be a Mazur-Ogus variety if it satisfies the following conditions:

(1) The Hodge de Rham spectral sequence of X degenerates at E_1, and
(2) crystalline cohomology of X is torsion free.

2.31. **Deligne-Illusie varieties.** A smooth, projective variety over a perfect field k is said to be a Deligne-Illusie variety if it satisfies the following conditions:

(1) X admits a flat lifting to $W_2(k)$ and
(2) crystalline cohomology of X is torsion free.

Remark 2.31.1. (1) The class of Mazur-Ogus varieties is quite reasonable for many purposes and is rich enough to contain varieties with many de Rham-Witt torsion phenomena. For instance any K3 surface is Mazur-Ogus (in particular the supersingular K3 surface is Mazur-Ogus).
(2) We caution the reader that our definition of Deligne-Illusie varieties is more restrictive than that conceived by Deligne-Illusie. Nevertheless, we have the following restatement of [7].

Theorem 2.31.2. If $p > \dim(X)$ then any Deligne-Illusie variety X is a Mazur-Ogus variety.

Remark 2.31.3. It seems reasonable to expect that the inclusion of the class of Deligne-Illusie varieties in the class of Mazur-Ogus varieties is strict. However we do not know of an example. The class of Deligne-Illusie varieties is closed under products to this extent: if X, Y are Deligne-Illusie varieties and if $p > \dim(X) + \dim(Y)$ then $X \times_k Y$ is a Deligne-Illusie variety.

2.32. **Hodge de Rham degeneration and the Cartier operator.** Recall from [31, Section 1.1, page 7] that thanks to the Cartier operator the Hodge de Rham spectral sequence

$$E_1 = H^q(X, \Omega^p_{X/k}) \implies H^{p+q}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/k) \tag{2.32.1}$$

degenerates at E_1 if and only if the conjugate spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(X, \mathbb{H}^q_{\mathrm{dR}}(\Omega^\bullet_{X/k})) \implies H^{p+q}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/k) \tag{2.32.2}$$

degenerates at E_2. The first spectral sequence, in any case, induces the Hodge filtration on the abutment while the second induces the conjugate Hodge filtration (see [23]). In particular we see that if X is Mazur-Ogus then both the Hodge de Rham and the conjugate spectral sequences degenerate at E_1 (and E_2 resp.). In any case $H^*_{dR}(X/k)$ comes equipped with two filtrations: the Hodge and the conjugate Hodge filtration.

2.33. **Locally closed and locally exact forms.** Let X be a smooth, projective surface over an algebraically field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Then we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to B_1\Omega^1_X \to Z_1\Omega^1_X \to \Omega^1_X \to 0,$$

where the arrow $Z_1\Omega^1_X \to \Omega^1_X$ is the inverse Cartier operator. In particular we have the subspace $H^0(X, Z_1\Omega^1_X) \subset H^0(X, \Omega^1_X)$ which consists of closed global one forms on X. Using iterated Cartier operators (or their inverses), we get (see [16, Chapter 0, 2.2, page 519]) a sequence of sheaves $B_n\Omega^1_X \subset Z_n\Omega^1_X$ and the exact sequence

$$0 \to B_n\Omega^1_X \to Z_n\Omega^1_X \to \Omega^1_X \to 0,$$

and sequence of sheaves $Z_{n+1}\Omega^1_X \subset Z_n\Omega^1_X$. We will write

$$Z_\infty\Omega^1_X = \cap_{n=0}^\infty Z_n\Omega^1_X.$$

This is the sheaf of indefinitely closed one forms. We will say that a global one form is indefinitely closed if it lives in $H^0(X, Z_\infty\Omega^1_X) \subset H^0(X, \Omega^1_X)$. In general the inclusions $H^0(Z_\infty\Omega^1_X) \subset H^0(Z_1\Omega^1_X) \subset H^0(\Omega^1_X)$ may all be strict.

2.34. **Mazur-Ogus explicated for surfaces.** For a smooth, projective surface, the condition that X is Mazur-Ogus takes more tangible geometric forms which are often easier to check in practice. Part of our next result is implicit in [16]. We will use the class of Mazur-Ogus surfaces in extensively in this paper as well as its sequel and in particular the following result will be frequently used.

Theorem 2.34.1. Let X be a smooth, projective surface over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Consider the following assertions

(1) X is Mazur-Ogus
(2) $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsion free and $h^{1,1} = h^{1,1}_W$,
(3) $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsion free and every global 1-form on X is closed,
(4) $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced and every global 1-form on X is indefinitely closed,
(5) the differentials $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to H^1(X, \Omega^1_X)$ and $H^0(X, \Omega^1_X) \to H^0(X, \Omega^2_X)$ are zero,
(6) the Hodge de Rham spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q(X, \Omega^p_{X/k}) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}_{dR}(X/k)$$

degenerates at E_1,

Then (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) $\Rightarrow$ (5) $\Leftrightarrow$ (6).

Proof. It is clear from Ekedahl's Theorems (see 2.25.2) that (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). So we prove (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Consider the assertion (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). By [16, Proposition 5.16, Page 632] the assumption of (2) that $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsion-free implies that $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced, the equality

$$\dim H^0(Z_1\Omega^1_X) = \dim H^0(Z_\infty\Omega^1_X),$$

and also the equality

$$b_1 = h^1_{dR} = h^{0,1} + \dim H^0(Z_1\Omega^1_X).$$

Now our assertion will be proved using Crew's formula 2.26.1. We claim that the following equalities hold

$$h^{0,0}_W = h^{0,0} \tag{2.34.2}$$

$$h^{0,1}_W = h^{0,1} \tag{2.34.3}$$

$$h^{0,2}_W = h^{0,2} \tag{2.34.4}$$

$$h^{2,0}_W = h^{2,0} \tag{2.34.5}$$

$$h^{1,1} - h^{1,1}_W = 2(h^{1,0} - h^{0,1}) \tag{2.34.6}$$

The first of these is trivial as both the sides are equal to one for trivial reasons. The second follows from the explicit form of Crew's formula for surfaces (and the fact that $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced). The third formula follows from Crew's formula and the first two computations as follows. Crew's formula 2.26.1 says that

$$h^{0,0}_W - h^{0,1}_W + h^{0,2}_W = h^{0,0} - h^{0,1} + h^{0,2}.$$

By the first two equalities we deduce that $h^{0,2}_W = h^{0,2}$. By Hodge-Witt symmetry and Serre duality we deduce that

$$h^{0,2}_W = h^{2,0}_W = h^{0,2} = h^{2,0}.$$

Again Crew's formula also gives

$$h^{1,0}_W - h^{1,1}_W + h^{1,2}_W = h^{1,0} - h^{1,1} + h^{1,2}$$

So we get on rearranging that

$$h^{1,1} - h^{1,1}_W = h^{1,0} - h^{1,0}_W + h^{1,2} - h^{1,2}_W.$$

By Serre duality $h^{1,2} = h^{1,0}$ and on the other hand

$$h^{1,2}_W = h^{1,0}_W = h^{0,1}_W = h^{0,1}$$

by duality Hodge-Witt symmetry (see 2.26.1, 2.26.2) and the last equality holds as $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced. Thus we see that

$$h^{1,1} - h^{1,1}_W = 2(h^{1,0} - h^{0,1}).$$

Thus the hypothesis of (2) implies that

$$h^{1,0} = h^{0,1},$$

and as

$$h^{1,0} = \dim H^0(Z_1\Omega^1_X) = \dim H^0(Z_\infty\Omega^1_X).$$

So we have deduced that every global one form on X is closed and hence (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) is proved.

Now (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is proved as follows. The only condition we need check is that the hypothesis of (3) imply that Hodge de Rham degenerates. The only non-trivial part of this assertion is that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \to H^1(X, \Omega^1_X)$ is zero. By [16, Prop. 5.16, Page 632] we know that if $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsion free then the differential $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \to H^1(X, \Omega^1_X)$ is zero. Further by hypothesis of (3) we see that $H^0(X, \Omega^1_X) \to H^0(X, \Omega^2_X)$ also is zero. The other differentials in the Hodge de Rham spectral sequence are either zero for trivial reasons or are dual to one of the above two differentials and hence Hodge de Rham degenerates. So (3) implies (1).

Now let us prove that (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4). The first assertion is trivial after [16, Prop. 5.16, Page 632]. Indeed the fact that $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsion free implies that $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced and $H^0(X, Z_\infty\Omega^1_X) = H^0(X, Z_1\Omega^1_X)$ and by the hypothesis of (3) we have further that $H^0(X, Z_1\Omega^1_X) = H^0(X, \Omega^1_X)$. Thus we have deduced (3) $\Rightarrow$(4).

The remaining assertions are well-known and are implicit in [16, Prop. 5.16, Page 632] but we give a proof for completeness. Now assume (4) we want to prove (5). By the hypothesis of (4) and [16, Prop. 5.16, Page 632] we see that the differential $H^0(X, \Omega^1_X) \to H^0(X, \Omega^2_X)$ is zero. So we have to prove that the differential $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \to H^1(\Omega^1_X)$ is zero. We use the method of proof of [16, Prop. 5.16, Page 632] to do this. Let $f : X \to \mathrm{Alb}(X)$ be the Albanese morphism of X. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(A, \Omega^1_A) & \longrightarrow & H^1_{dR}(A/k) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{O}_A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(Z_1\Omega^1_X) & \longrightarrow & H^1_{dR}(X/k) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) & & \end{array} \tag{2.34.7}$$

with exact rows and the vertical arrows are injective and cokernel of the middle arrow is ${}_pH^2_{cris}(X/W)_{Tor}$ (the p-torsion of the torsion of $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$). Moreover the image of $H^1_{dR}(X/k) \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is the $E^{0,1}_\infty$ term in the Hodge de Rham spectral sequence. Thus the hypothesis of (4) that $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced implies that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_A) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$, so we have $H^1(\mathcal{O}_A) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \subset E^{0,1}_\infty = H^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$. Hence $E^{0,1}_\infty = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, so that the differential $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \to H^1(\Omega^1_X)$ is zero. This proves (4) implies (5).

Now let us prove (5) $\Leftrightarrow$ (6). It is trivial that (6) implies (5). So we only have to prove (5) $\Rightarrow$ (6). This is elementary, but we give a proof. The differential $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X) \to H^0(\Omega^1_X)$ is trivially zero, so by duality $H^2(\Omega^1_X) \to H^2(\Omega^2_X)$ is zero. The differential $H^0(\Omega^1_X) \to H^0(\Omega^2_X)$

is zero by hypothesis of (5). This is dual to $H^2(\mathcal{O}_X) \to H^2(\Omega^1_X)$ hence which is also zero. The differential $H^1(\Omega^1_X) \to H^1(\Omega^2_X)$ is dual to the differential $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \to H^1(\Omega^1_X)$ which is zero by hypothesis of (5). Hence we have proved (5) $\Leftrightarrow$ (6). □

2.35. **Domino numbers of Mazur-Ogus varieties.** Let X be a smooth projective variety over a perfect field. The purpose here is to prove the following. This was proved for abelian varieties in [11].

Theorem 2.35.1. Let X be a smooth projective Mazur-Ogus variety over a perfect field. Then for all $i, j \geq 0$ the domino numbers $T^{i,j}$ are completely determined by the Hodge numbers of X and the slope numbers of X.

Proof. This proved by an inductive argument. The first step is to note that by the hypothesis and [11] one has

$$h^{i,j} = h^{i,j}_W = m^{i,j} + T^{i,j} - 2T^{i-1,j+1} + T^{i-2,j+2} \tag{2.35.2}$$

and so we get for all $j \geq 0$

$$T^{0,j} = h^{0,j} - m^{0,j} \tag{2.35.3}$$

so the assertion is true for $T^{0,j}$ for all $j \geq 0$. Next we prove the assertion for $T^{i,n}$ for all i. From the above equation we see that $T^{i,n} = h^{i,n} - m^{i,n} + 2T^{i-1,n+1} - T^{i-2,n+2}$ and the terms involving $n+1, n+2$ are zero. Now do a downward induction on j to prove the result for $T^{i,j}$: for each fixed j, the formula for $T^{i,j}$ involves $T^{i-1,j+1}$, $T^{i-2,j+2}$ and by induction hypothesis on j (for each i) these two domino numbers are completely determined by the Hodge and slope numbers. Thus the result follows. □

In particular as complete intersections in projective space are Mazur-Ogus, we have the following.

Corollary 2.35.4. Let X be a smooth projective complete intersection in projective space. Then $T^{i,j}$ are completely determined by the Hodge numbers of X and the slope numbers of X.

2.36. **Explicit formulae for domino numbers of hypersurfaces.** For $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ a smooth hypersurface we can make the formulas quite explicit using [25, 32]. We do this here for the reader's convenience. We begin with the remark that we have the following explicit bound for $T^{0,j}$:

$$T^{0,j} \leq h^{0,j}, \tag{2.36.1}$$

and if $\dim(X) = n = 2$ then we have $m^{0,2} = 0$ if and only if $H^2_{cris}(X/W) \otimes_W K$ is pure slope one. In particular, if this is the case, we have $T^{0,2} = h^{0,2}$ and so we have $T^{0,2} = p_g(X)$. If $\deg(X) = d$ then we have from [25] that $h^{0,2} = \dim H^0(X, \Omega^2_X) = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d-4))$ because $\Omega^2_X = \mathcal{O}_X(d-4)$ by a standard calculation.

If $\dim(X) = 3$ we have, possibly, two non-trivial numbers to determine $T^{0,2}$ and $T^{0,3}$ the remaining are either zero or dual to these by Ekedahl's duality. In fact as X is a hypersurface $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $0 < i < \dim(X)$ and hence $T^{0,i} = 0$ for all i except possibly $i = 3$ and $T^{1,2} = T^{0,3}$ by Ekedahl's duality. So we can write down $T^{0,3} = h^{0,3} - m^{0,3}$. If $H^3_{cris}(X/W)$ has no slopes in $[0, 1)$ then we see that $m^{0,3} = 0$ and so again $T^{0,3} = h^{0,3} = \dim H^3(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

If $\dim(X) = 4$ then there are two non-trivial domino numbers to determine $T^{0,4}$ and $T^{1,3}$ we may determine $T^{0,4}$ easily. The formula for $h^{1,3}_W = m^{1,3} + T^{1,3} - 2T^{0,4}$ gives $T^{1,3} = h^{1,3} - m^{1,3} + 2T^{0,4}$. If $H^4_{cris}(X/W)$ is pure slope two, then $m^{1,3} = 0$ and so we have $T^{1,3} = h^{1,3} + 2h^{0,4}$

$\dim(X) = 2$**:** We have $T^{0,2} \leq h^{0,2}$ and equality holds if and only if $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is pure slope one.

$\dim(X) = 3$**:** We have $T^{0,3} \leq h^{0,3}$ with equality if and only if $H^3_{cris}(X/W)$ has no slopes in $[0, 1)$ (equivalently by Poincaré duality $H^3_{cris}(X/W)$ has no slopes in $(2, 3]$).

$\dim(X) = 4$**:** We have $T^{0,4} \leq h^{0,4}$ and $T^{1,3} \leq h^{1,3} + 2T^{0,4}$ and both are equal if and only if $H^4_{cris}(X/W)$ is of pure slope two.

Thus we have proved the following:

Corollary 2.36.2. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a smooth, projective hypersurface over a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$ with $2 \leq \dim(X) = n \leq 4$. Then we have $T^{0,i} = 0$ unless $i = n$ and in that case

$$T^{0,n} \leq h^{0,n}. \tag{2.36.3}$$

Further $T^{1,i} = 0$ unless $i = 4$ and if $i = 4$ then

$$T^{1,4} \leq h^{1,3} + 2h^{0,4}. \tag{2.36.4}$$

Further equality holds if $H^i_{cris}(X/W)$ satisfies certain slope conditions which are summarized in Table 1. The table records the only, possibly non-trivial, domino numbers and the crystalline condition which is necessary and sufficient for these domino numbers to achieve their maximal value.

TABLE 1. Slope condition(s) for maximal domino numbers

$\dim(X)$	2	3	4
$T^{0,2}$	$H^2_{cris} = H^2_{cris,[1]}$	0	0
$T^{0,3}$	0	$H^3_{cris} = H^3_{cris,[1,2]}$	0
$T^{0,4}$	0	0	$H^4_{cris} = H^4_{cris,[1,3]}$
$T^{1,3}$	0	0	$H^4_{cris} = H^4_{cris,[2]}$

The following table records the standard formulae (see [8, 25, 32]) for the non-trivial numerical invariants of a smooth hypersurface $X \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ with $2 \leq n \leq 4$ and of degree d. These can extracted as the coefficients of the power series expansion of the function

$$H_d(y, z) = \frac{(1+z)^{d-1} - (1+y)^{d-1}}{z(1+y)^d - y(1+z)^d} = \sum_{p,q \geq 0} h_0^{p,q} y^p z^q, \tag{2.36.5}$$

where $h_0^{p,q} = h^{p,q} - \delta_{p,q}$; we may also calculate the Betti number of X using the Hodge numbers of X as Hodge de Rham spectral sequence degenerates for a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$.

For computational purposes, we can write the above generating function, following [8], the sum as

$$H_d(y, z) = \frac{\sum_{i,j \geq 0} \binom{d-1}{i+j+1} y^i z^j}{1 - \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \binom{d}{i+j} y^i z^j} \tag{2.36.6}$$

Now it is possible, after a bit of work (which we suppress here) to arrive at the formulae for Hodge numbers for low dimensions (such as the ones we need). Our results are summarized in the following table.

Assuming that the slope conditions for maximal domino numbers hold (see Table 1 on the preceding page we can use the Hodge number calculation to calculate domino numbers. Table 2 gives formulae for Hodge numbers $h^{i,j}$.

TABLE 2. Hodge and Betti Numbers

$\dim(X)$	2	3	4
$h^{0,2}$	$\frac{(d-1)(d-2)(d-3)}{6}$		
$h^{1,1}$	$\frac{d(2d^2-6d+7)}{3}$		
$h^{0,3}$		$\frac{(d-1)(d-2)(d-3)(d-4)}{4!}$	
$h^{1,2}$		$\frac{(d-1)(d-2)(11d^2-17d+12)}{4!}$	
$h^{0,4}$			$\frac{(d-1)(d-2)(d-3)(d-4)(d-5)}{5!}$
$h^{1,3}$			$\frac{2(d-1)(d-2)(13d^3-51d^2+56d-30)}{5!}$
$h^{2,2}$			$\frac{(d-1)(d-2)(3d^3-11d^2+11d-5)}{10}$
b_2	d^3-4d^2+6d-2		
b_3		$(d-1)(d-2)(d^2-2d+2)$	
b_4			$\frac{(d-1)(d-2)(3d^3-12d^2+15d-10)}{4}$

We record for future use:

$$h^{1,1}-2p_g=\frac{d^3-4d+6}{3} \tag{2.36.7}$$

and hence

$$b_2-4p_g=\frac{d^3-4d+6}{3}. \tag{2.36.8}$$

We summarize formulae for maximal values of $T^{0,i}$ which we can obtain using this method in the following.

Proposition 2.36.9. Assume that $X\subseteq\mathbb{P}^n$ is a smooth, projective hypersurface of degree d and $\dim(X)\leq 4$. Suppose that the crystalline cohomology of X satisfies the slope condition of for maximal domino numbers given in (Table 1 on the preceding page). Then the domino numbers $T^{0,i}$ for $i=2,3,4$ (resp. $\dim(X)=2,3,4$) are given by Table 3 on the following page:

TABLE 3. Maximal domino numbers

i	$T^{0,i}$
2	$\frac{(d-1)(d-2)(d-3)}{6}$
3	$\frac{(d-1)(d-2)(d-3)(d-4)}{4!}$
4	$\frac{(d-1)(d-2)(d-3)(d-4)(d-5)}{5!}$
$T^{1,3}$	$\frac{(d-1)(d-2)(14d^3-63d^2+103d-90)}{5!}$

3. Enriques Classification and negativity of $h^{1,1}_W$

3.1. **Main result of this section.** The main theorem we want to prove is Theorem 3.1.1. The proof of Theorem 3.1.1 is divided in to several parts and it uses the Enriques' classification of surfaces. We do not know how to prove the assertion without using Enriques' classification [2], [3].

Theorem 3.1.1. Let X/k be a smooth, projective surface over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$. If $p \geq 5$ and $h^{1,1}_W < 0$ then X is of general type. If $p = 2, 3$ and $h^{1,1}_W < 0$ then X is either quasi-elliptic of Kodaira dimension one or of general type.

Remark 3.1.2. The restriction on the characteristic in Theorem 3.1.1 comes in because of quasi-elliptic surfaces (which exist in characteristic two and three). From [24, Corollary, page 480] and the formula for $h^{1,1}_W$ it is possible to write down examples of quasi-elliptic surfaces of Kodaira dimension one where this invariant is negative.

3.2. **Enriques' classification.** We briefly recall Enriques' classification of surfaces ([29], [3], [2]). Let X/k be a smooth projective surface. Then Enriques's classification is carried out by means of the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X)$. All surfaces with $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ are ruled surfaces; the surfaces with $\kappa(X) = 0$ comprise of K3 surfaces, abelian surfaces, Enriques surfaces, non-classical Enriques surfaces (in characteristic two), bielliptic surfaces and non-classical hyperelliptic surfaces (in characteristic two and three). The surfaces with $\kappa(X) = 1$ are (properly) elliptic surfaces and finally the surfaces with $\kappa(X) = 2$ are surfaces of general type.

3.3. **Two proofs of non-negativity.** In this subsection we give two proofs of the following:

Proposition 3.3.1. Let X/k be a smooth projective surface. Then for $(i, j) \neq (1, 1)$ we have $h^{i,j}_W \geq 0$.

First proof. The assertion is trivial for $h^{0,1}_W = h^{1,0}_W = b_1/2$. So we have to check it for $h^{2,0}_W = h^{0,2}_W = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - 1 + b_1/2$. Writing out this explicitly we have

$$h^{0,2}_W = h^{0,0} - h^{0,1} + h^{0,2} - 1 + b_1/2 \tag{3.3.2}$$

or as $h^{0,0} = 1$ (as X is connected) we get

$$h^{0,2}_W = h^{0,2} - (h^{0,1} - q) \tag{3.3.3}$$

where $q = b_1/2 = \dim_k \mathrm{Alb}(X)$ is the dimension of the Albanese variety of X. By [2, page 25] we know that $h^{0,1} - q \leq p_g = h^{0,2}$ and so the non-negativity assertion follows. □

Second Proof. We use the definition of

$$h_W^{i,j} = m^{i,j} + T^{i,j} - 2T^{i-1,j+1} + T^{i-2,j+2}. \tag{3.3.4}$$

To prove the result it suffices to show that $T^{i-1,j+1}$ is zero for all $(i,j) \neq (1,1)$. This follows from the fact that in the slope spectral sequence of a smooth projective surface, there is at most one non-trivial differential (see [30], [16, Corollary 3.14, page 619]) and this gives vanishing of the domino numbers except possibly $T^{0,2}$, and if $(i-1, j+1) = (0,2)$ then $(i,j) = (1,1)$. □

3.4. **The case $\kappa(X) = -\infty$.** We begin by stepping through the Enriques' classification (see 3.2) and verifying the non-negativity of the Hodge-Witt number in all the cases.

Proposition 3.4.1. Let X/k be a smooth projective surface. If $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ then $h_W^{1,1} \geq 0$.

Proof. As $\kappa(X) = -\infty$, we know from [3] that either X is rational or it is ruled (irrational ruled). Assume X is irrational ruled. Then one has $c_1^2 = 8 - 8q$ and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1 - q$. By Noether's formula $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \frac{1}{12}(c_1^2 + c_2)$ we get

$$h_W^{1,1} = b_1 + \frac{5}{6}4(1-q) - \frac{1}{6}8(1-q) = b_1 + 2(1-q) = 2 \geq 0 \tag{3.4.2}$$

where we have used the fact that for a ruled surface $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced (which follows from $p_g = 0$ for a ruled surface so $H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X)) = 0$), and the fact that $b_1 = 2q$.

If X is rational, then either $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ or X is ruled, rational. In the first case $c_1^2 = 9$ and in the second case $c_1^2 = 8$. In both the cases $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$ and we are done by an explicit calculation. □

3.5. **Reduction to minimal model.** Before proceeding further we record a lemma which allows us to reduce the question of $h_W^{1,1} < 0$ to minimal surfaces. The main point is to note that if under a blowup at a single point $h_W^{1,1}$ increases by one. This follows from properties of c_1^2, c_2 under blowups and the formula 2.27.1.

Lemma 3.5.1. If X is a smooth, projective surface with $h_W^{1,1}(X) < 0$, and if X has a minimal model X', then $h_W^{1,1}(X') < 0$.

Proof. The lemma follows from the observation recorded earlier that $h_W^{1,1}$ increases by one under blowups, so passing from X to X' involves a decrease in $h^{1,1}$. Thus we see that $h_W^{1,1}(X') < h_W^{1,1} < 0$. □

3.6. **The $\kappa(X) = 0$.**

Proposition 3.6.1. Let X/k be a smooth projective, minimal surface with $\kappa(X) = 0$. Then $h_W^{1,1} \geq 0$.

Proof. This is easy: when $\kappa(X) = 0$, we know that $c_1^2 = 0$ and so it suffices to show that $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 0$. This follows from the table in [2, page 25]. □

3.7. **The case $\kappa(X) = 1$.** The following proposition shows that $h^{1,1}_W \geq 0$ holds for surfaces of $\kappa(X) = 1$ unless the surface is quasi-elliptic. There are example of quasi-elliptic surfaces for which the result fails.

Proposition 3.7.1. Assume X is a smooth projective, minimal surface over a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$ with $\kappa(X) = 1$. If $p = 2, 3$, assume that X is not quasi-elliptic. Then $h^{1,1}_W \geq 0$.

Proof. Under our hypothesis, X is a properly elliptic surface (i.e., the generic fibre is smooth curve of genus 1 and $c_1^2 = 0$. Hence it suffices to verify that $c_2 \geq 0$. As $c_2 = \chi_{et}(X)$, the required inequality is equivalent to proving $\chi_{et}(X) \geq 0$. This inequality is implicit in [2]; it can also be proved directly using the Euler characteristic formula (see [4][page 290, Proposition 5.1.6] and the paragraph preceding it). □

3.8. **Proof of Theorem 3.1.1.** Now we can assemble various components of the proof. Assume that X has $h^{1,1}_W < 0$. By Proposition 3.4.1 we have $h^{1,1}_W \geq 0$ for $\kappa(X) = -\infty$, so we may assume that $\kappa(X) \geq 0$. Then by Lemma 3.5.1 we may assume that X is already minimal. Now by Proposition 3.6.1 and Proposition 3.7.1 we have $h^{1,1}_W \geq 0$ if $0 \leq \kappa(X) \leq 1$. So $h^{1,1}_W < 0$ forces X to have $\kappa(X) > 1$ and so X is of general type.

4. Chern class inequalities

4.1. **Elementary observations.** In this section we study the chern class inequality $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$ and a weaker variant $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2 + 6b_1$. These were studied in characteristic zero in [6]. It is, of course, well-known that $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$ fails for some surfaces in positive characteristic. The first observation we have, albeit an elementary one, is that the obstructions to proving $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$ are of de Rham-Witt (i.e. involving torsion in the slope spectral sequence) and crystalline (i.e. involving slopes of Frobenius on $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$) in nature. This has not been noticed before.

Let us begin by recording some trivial but important consequences of the remarkable formula for $h^{1,1}_W$ (see 2.27.1). The main reason for writing them out explicitly is to illustrate the fact that obstructions to Chern class inequalities for surfaces are of crystalline (involving slope of Frobenius) and de Rham-Witt (involving the domino number $T^{0,2}$).

In what follows we will write

$$c_i = c_i(T_X) \tag{4.1.1}$$

$$b_1 = \dim H^1_{cris}(X/W) \otimes K, \tag{4.1.2}$$

$$T^{0,2} = \dim \mathrm{Dom}^{0,2}(H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X)) \to H^2(X, W\Omega^1_X)). \tag{4.1.3}$$

Proposition 4.1.4. Let X be a smooth, projective surface over a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$.

(1) Then the following conditions are equivalent:
   (a) the inequality $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$ holds,
   (b) the inequality $h^{1,1}_W \geq b_1$ holds,
   (c) the inequality $2T^{0,2} + b_1 \leq m^{1,1}$ holds.

(2) If X is Mazur-Ogus surface. Then the following are equivalent
   (a) the inequality $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$ holds for X,
   (b) the inequality $h^{1,1} \geq b_1$ holds for X,
   (c) the inequality $2T^{0,2} + b_1 \leq m^{1,1}$ holds.

(3) If X is a Hodge-Witt surface. Then the following assertions are equivalent
   (a) the inequality $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$ holds,
   (b) the inequality $m^{1,1} \geq 2m^{0,1}$ holds.

Proof. All the assertions are trivial consequences of the following formulae, and the fact that if X is Hodge-Witt then $T^{0,2} = 0$.

$$h_W^{1,1} = m^{1,1} - 2T^{0,2} \tag{4.1.5}$$

$$h_W^{1,1} = \frac{5c_2 - c_1^2}{6} + b_1 \tag{4.1.6}$$

$$b_1 = m^{0,1} + m^{1,0} \tag{4.1.7}$$

$$m^{0,1} = m^{1,0}, \tag{4.1.8}$$

and are left to the reader. □

4.2. **Consequences of $h_W^{1,1} \geq 0$.** We also record the main reason for our interest in $h_W^{1,1} \geq 0$.

Proposition 4.2.1. Let X/k be a smooth projective surface over a perfect field k. Then

$$h_W^{1,1} \geq 0$$

holds if and only if the inequality:

$$c_1^2 \leq 5c_2 + 6b_1 \leq 5c_2 + 12h^{0,1}. \tag{4.2.2}$$

holds. On the other hand if $h^{1,1} < 0$, then

$$c_1^2 \geq 5c_2. \tag{4.2.3}$$

Proof. The assertions follow easily from Ekedahl's formula (2.27.1) for $h_W^{1,1}$:

$$h_W^{1,1} = b_1 + \frac{5}{6}c_2 - \frac{1}{6}c_1^2 \tag{4.2.4}$$

Hence we see that $h_W^{1,1} \geq 0$ gives $h_W^{1,1} > 0$ gives $5c_2 - c_1^2 > -6b_1$ or $c_1^2 < 5c_2 + 6b_1$. Further we see that $h_W^{1,1} < 0$ implies that

$$b_1 + \frac{5}{6}c_2 - \frac{1}{6}c_1^2 < 0 \tag{4.2.5}$$

As $b_1 \geq 0$ the term on the left is not less than $\frac{5}{6}c_2 - \frac{1}{6}c_1^2$ and so

$$\frac{5}{6}c_2 - \frac{1}{6}c_1^2 \leq h_W^{1,1} < 0, \tag{4.2.6}$$

and the result follows. □

Remark 4.2.7. Let X be a smooth projective surface of general type. Clearly when $h_W^{1,1} < 0$ the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality also fails. On the other hand if X satisfies $c_1^2 \leq 3c_2$ then $h_W^{1,1} \geq 0$. Thus the point of view which seems to emerge from the results of this section is that surfaces with $h_W^{1,1} < 0$ are somewhat more exotic than the ones for which $h_W^{1,1} \geq 0$. Indeed as was pointed out in [11], $h_W^{1,1}$ is a deformation invariant so surfaces with $h_W^{1,1} < 0$ do not even admit deformations which lift to characteristic zero.

Corollary 4.2.8. If X is a smooth projective surface for which (4.2.2) fails to hold, then the slope spectral sequence of X has infinite torsion and does not degenerate at E_1.

Proof. Indeed, this follows from the formula

$$h^{1,1}_W = m^{1,1} - 2T^{0,2}, \tag{4.2.9}$$

which is just the definition of $h^{1,1}_W$. The claim now follows as $m^{1,1} \geq 0$ and hence $h^{1,1}_W < 0$ implies that $T^{0,2} \geq 1$. □

Remark 4.2.10. Thus we see that the counter examples to Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality given in [37] are not Hodge-Witt.

4.3. **Surfaces for which $h^{1,1}_W \geq 0$ holds.** Our next result provides a large class of surfaces for which $h^{1,1}_W \geq 0$ does hold.

Theorem 4.3.1. Let X/k be a smooth, projective surface over a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. Assume X satisfies any one of the following hypothesis:

(1) the surface X is Hodge-Witt,
(2) or X is ordinary (in the sense of Bloch-Kato),
(3) or X is a Mazur-Ogus surface,
(4) or assume $p \geq 3$ and X is a Deligne-Illusie surface,
(5) or assume $p = 2$, and X lifts to W_2.

Then X satisfies (4.2.2):

$$c_1^2 \leq 5c_2 + 6b_1$$

Proof. The assertion that (4.2.2) holds is equivalent to $h^{1,1}_W \geq 0$ where:

$$h^{1,1}_W = m^{1,1} - 2T^{0,2} = \frac{5c_2 - c_1^2}{6} + b_1.$$

Thus it suffices to prove that $h^{1,1}_W \geq 0$. So (1) follows from the fact that $T^{0,2} = 0$ as X is Hodge-Witt and $m^{1,1} \geq 0$ by definition. Now (1) implies (2) as X is ordinary means that X is Hodge-Witt. To prove (3) we can simply invoke [11, Corollary 3.3.1, Page 86] which gives us $h^{1,1}_W = h^{1,1}$. However we give an elementary proof in the spirit of this paper. We will use the formulas $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1 - h^{0,1} + h^{0,2}$ and $c_2 = \chi_{et}(X) = 1 - b_1 + b_2 - b_3 + b_4 = 2 - 2b_1 + b_2$. By 2.19.1 we have

$$12\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = c_1^2 + c_2, \tag{4.3.2}$$

or equivalently $c_1^2 = 12\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - c_2$. Now the assertion will follow if we prove that $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2 + 6b_1$. But

$$\begin{aligned}
5c_2 - c_1^2 + 6b_1 &= 5c_2 - (12\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - c_2) + b_1 && (4.3.3)\\
&= 6c_2 - 12\chi(O_X) + 6b_1 && (4.3.4)\\
&= 6(2 - 2b_1 + b_2) - 12\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + 6b_1 && (4.3.5)\\
&= 12 - 12b_1 + 6b_2 - 12(1 - h^{0,1} + h^{0,2}) + 6b_1 && (4.3.6)\\
&= 6b_1 - 12h^{0,1} + 6b_2 - 12h^{0,2} && (4.3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that $5c_2 - c_1^2 + 6b_1 = 6(b_1 - 2h^{0,1}) + 6(b_2 - 2h^{0,2})$. By [7] and the hypothesis that the crystalline cohomology of X is torsion free we have $b_2 = h^{0,2} + h^{1,1} + h^{2,0}$. Or equivalently by Serre duality we get $b_2 = 2h^{0,2} + h^{1,1}$ and again by the hypothesis that the crystalline cohomology of X is torsion free we see that $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced and so $b_1 = 2h^{0,1}$. Thus $5c_2 - c_1^2 + 6b_1 = 6h^{1,1}$ and so is non-negative and in particular we have deduced that $h^{1,1}_W = h^{1,1}$. The assertion (4) follows from the third (via [7])–see Theorem 2.31.2. The fifth

assertion follows falls into two cases: assume X is not ruled, then this follows from [34] as the hypothesis imply that $c_1^2 \leq 3c_2$. If X is ruled one deduces this from our earlier result on surfaces with Kodaira dimension $-\infty$. □

Remark 4.3.8. For this remark assume that the characteristic $p \geq 3$. In the absence of crystalline torsion, $h_W^{1,1}$ detects obstruction to lifting to W_2. More precisely, if X has torsion free $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$, and $h_W^{1,1} < 0$, then X does not lift to W_2.

4.4. **Examples of Szpiro, Ekedahl.** As was pointed out in [11] the counter examples constructed by Szpiro in [37] also provide examples of surfaces which are beyond the (4.2.2) faultline. We briefly recall these examples. In [37] Szpiro constructed examples of smooth projective surfaces S together with a smooth, projective and non-isotrivial fibration $f : S \to C$ where the fibres has genus $g \geq 2$ and C has genus $q \geq 2$. Let $f_n : S_n \to C$ be the fibre product of f with the n^{th}-iterate of Frobenius $F_{C/k} : C \to C$. Then

$$c_2(S_n) = 4(g-1)(q-1) \tag{4.4.1}$$

$$c_1^2(S_n) = p^n d + 8(g-1)(q-1) \tag{4.4.2}$$

where $d = deg(f_*(\Omega^1_{X/C}))$ is a positive integer. Thus in this case, as was pointed in [11], $h_W^{1,1} \to -\infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Further observe, as $d \geq 1$, that

$$c_1^2 > pc_2 \tag{4.4.3}$$

for n large; and also that for any given integer $m \geq 1$, there exists a smooth, projective, minimal surface of general type such that $c_1^2 > p^m c_2$.

4.5. **Weak Bogomolov-Miyaoka holds in characteristic zero.** Assume for this remark that $k = \mathbb{C}$, and that X is a smooth, projective surface. Then using the Hodge decomposition for X, Noether's formula can be written as

$$h^{1,1} = 10\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - c_1^2 + b_1, \tag{4.5.1}$$

and as the left hand-side of this formula is always non-negative we deduce that

$$c_1^2 \leq 10\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + b_1. \tag{4.5.2}$$

This is easily seen to be equivalent to

$$c_1^2 \leq 5c_2 + 6b_1. \tag{4.5.3}$$

Remark 4.5.4. If the weak Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau (4.2.2) fails to hold for a smooth projective surface X of general type, then Ω^1_X is Bogomolov unstable. Indeed this follows from [34, Corollary 15]. To see that the conditions of that corollary are valid it suffices to verify that $c_1^2 > \frac{16p^2}{(4p^2-1)}c_2$. By hypothesis, (4.2.2) fails, so one has

$$c_1^2 > 5c_2 + 6b_1 \geq 5c_2 \tag{4.5.5}$$

and as

$$4 < \frac{16x^2}{(4x^2-1)} \leq 4.26\cdots \tag{4.5.6}$$

for $x \geq 2$. Thus the assertion follows from Shepherd-Barron's result.

4.6. **Lower bounds on $h^{1,1}_W$.** In this subsection we are interested in lower bounds for $h^{1,1}_W$. It turns out that unless we are in characteristic $p \leq 7$, the situation is not too bad thanks to a conjecture of Raynaud (which is a theorem of Shepherd-Barron).

Proposition 4.6.1. Let X be a smooth projective surface of general type. Then

(1) except when $p \leq 7$ and X is fibred over a curve of genus at least two and the generic fibre is a singular rational curve of arithmetic genus at most four we have

$$-c_1^2 \leq h^{1,1}_W \leq h^{1,1}. \tag{4.6.2}$$

(2) If $c_2 > 0$ then $h^{1,1}_W > -\frac{1}{6}c_1^2$.
(3) If X is not uniruled then

$$-\frac{1}{6}c_1^2 \leq h^{1,1}_W \leq h^{1,1}. \tag{4.6.3}$$

(4) If $h^{1,1}_W < -\frac{1}{6}c_1^2$ then there exists a morphism $X \to C$ with connected fibres and C has genus at least one.

Proof. We prove(1). Assume if possible that $h^{1,1}_W < -c_1^2$. Then by using the formula $h^{1,1}_W = b_1 + 10\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - c_1^2$ we get $b_1 + 10\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) < 0$. As $b_1 \geq 0$ this implies that $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) < 0$. By [34, Theorem 8] we know that any surface of general type with negative $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ we have $p \leq 7$; and whenever $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) < 0$ the surface X is fibred over a curve of genus at least two and the generic fibre is singular rational curve of genus at most four. Next we prove (2) and (3) which are really consequence of Raynaud's conjecture which was proved in [34], using the formula for $h^{1,1}_W$ in terms of c_1^2, c_2, b_1. So suppose that X is not uniruled and assume, if possible, that

$$h^{1,1}_W < -\frac{1}{6}c_1^2 \tag{4.6.4}$$

Then writing out Ekedahl's formula for $h^{1,1}_W$ we get

$$h^{1,1}_W = b_1 + \frac{5}{6}c_2 - \frac{1}{6}c_1^2 < -\frac{1}{6}c_1^2, \tag{4.6.5}$$

and so this forces:

$$b_1 + \frac{5}{6}c_2 < 0 \tag{4.6.6}$$

and as $b_1 \geq 0$ we see that c_2 is negative. Now by [34, Theorem 7, page 263] we see that X is uniruled which contradicts our hypothesis. Now we prove (4). This is a part of the proof of Raynaud's conjecture in [34]. It is clear that the hypothesis implies that $c_2 < 0$. So by loc. cit. we know that the map $X \to \mathrm{Alb}(X)$ has one dimensional image, and this finishes the proof. □

Remark 4.6.7. (1) By a result of [24], exceptions in Theorem 4.6.1(1) do occur.
(2) Thus the examples of surfaces given in Subsection 4.4 satisfy the inequality in Proposition 4.6.1.

The following is rather optimistic expectation (because of the paucity of examples) and it would not surprise us if it turns out to be false.

Conjecture 4.6.8. If X is a smooth projective surface with that $-\frac{1}{6}c_1^2 \leq h^{1,1}_W < 0$ and $b_1 \neq 0$ then the image of the Albanese map $X \to \mathrm{Alb}(X)$ is one dimensional.

4.7. **A class of surfaces general type surfaces for which $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$.** Let us begin with the following proposition.

Proposition 4.7.1. Let X be a smooth, projective, minimal surface of general type such that

(1) X is Hodge-Witt,
(2) $c_2 > 0$,
(3) $m^{1,1} \geq 2p_g$,

Then

$$c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$$

holds for X.

Proof. Since X is minimal of general type so $c_1^2 > 0$. By the formula for $h_W^{1,1}$ we have

$$6h_W^{1,1} = 6(m^{1,1} - 2T^{0,2}) = 5c_2 - c_1^2 + 6b_1.$$

As X is Hodge-Witt we see that $T^{0,2} = 0$ and so

$$6(m^{1,1} - b_1) = 5c_2 - c_1^2.$$

Hence the asserted inequality holds if $m^{1,1} - b_1 \geq 0$. Writing

$$m^{1,1} - b_1 = (m^{1,1} - 2p_g) + (2p_g - 2q),$$

where we have used $b_1 = 2q$. Thus to prove the proposition it will suffice to prove that each of the two terms in the parenthesis are non-negative. The first holds by the hypothesis of the proposition and for the second, we see, as $2q \leq 2h^{0,1}$, that

$$2p_g - 2q \geq 2p_g - 2h^{0,1} = 2(\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - 1).$$

Thus to prove the proposition, it suffices to show that we have $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 1$. This is immediate, from Noether's formula 2.19.3 and our hypothesis that $c_2 > 0$. □

Remark 4.7.2. Let us remark that in characteristic $p > 0$, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ may be non-positive and likewise c_2 can be non-postive. However it has been shown in [35] that if $p \geq 7$, then $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 0$ for any smooth, projective minimal surface of general type. Moreover if $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, then $c_2 < 0$ by Noether's formula 2.19.1. It was shown in [34], if $c_2 < 0$ then X is uniruled (and in any case if $c_2 < 0$, then the inequality $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$ is false). In contrast if $k = \mathbb{C}$, a well-known result of Castelnouvo says $c_2 \geq 0$ and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) > 0$ (X minimal of general type).

The following proposition is a variant of Proposition 4.7.1 and is valid for the larger class of Mazur-Ogus surfaces. This proposition gives a sufficient condition (in terms of slopes of Frobenius and the geometric genus of the surface) for $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$ to hold.

Proposition 4.7.3. Let X be a smooth, projective, minimal surface of general type such that

(1) X is Mazur-Ogus,
(2) $c_2 > 0$,
(3) $m^{1,1} \geq 4p_g$,

Then

$$c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$$

holds for X.

Proof. We argue as in the proof of Proposition 4.7.1. Since X is minimal of general type so $c_1^2 > 0$. By the formula for $h^{1,1}_W$ we have

$$6h^{1,1}_W = 6(m^{1,1} - 2T^{0,2}) = 5c_2 - c_1^2 + 6b_1.$$

As X is Mazur-Ogus we see that $h^{1,1}_W = h^{1,1}$ and so we have

$$6(m^{1,1} - 2T^{0,2} - b_1) = 5c_2 - c_1^2.$$

Hence the asserted inequality holds if $m^{1,1} - 2T^{0,2} - b_1 \geq 0$. Writing

$$m^{1,1} - 2T^{0,2} - b_1 = (m^{1,1} - 4p_g) + (2p_g - 2T^{0,2}) + (2p_g - 2q),$$

where we have used $b_1 = 2q$. Thus to prove the proposition it will suffice to prove that each of the three terms in the parentheses are non-negative. The first holds by the hypothesis of the proposition and for the second we argue as follows: as X is Mazur-Ogus, so

$$b_2 = h^{0,2} + h^{1,1} + h^{2,0} = h^{1,1} + 2p_g$$

by degeneration of Hodge-de Rham at E_1. Further

$$b_2 - 2p_g = h^{1,1} = h^{1,1}_W = m^{1,1} - 2T^{0,2}.$$

Hence

$$b_2 - 2p_g = m^{1,1} - 2T^{0,2}.$$

So we get

$$b_2 - m^{1,1} = 2(p_g - T^{0,2}).$$

Now

$$b_2 = m^{0,2} + m^{1,1} + m^{2,0},$$

which shows that $b_2 - m^{1,1} \geq 0$ and so $p_g - T^{0,2} \geq 0$. Hence this term is non-negative. For the third term we see, as $2q \leq 2h^{0,1}$, that

$$2p_g - 2q \geq 2p_g - 2h^{0,1} = 2(\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - 1).$$

Thus the proposition follows as as we have $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 1$ from our hypothesis that $c_2 > 0$ and Noether's formula 2.19.3. □

We do not know how often the inequality $m^{1,1} \geq 4p_g$ holds. But the following Proposition shows that for surfaces of large degree in $\mathbb{P}^3$ the inequality $m^{1,1} \geq 4p_g$ holds.

Proposition 4.7.4. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth hypersurface of degree d. Then if $d \geq 5$, X satisfies all the hypothesis of Proposition 4.7.3. Hence the class of surfaces to which Proposition 4.7.3 applies is non-empty.

Proof. Let us assume X is a smooth, projective surface of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^3$. From the formulae for Hodge numbers in [32, 25] it is clear for smooth, projective surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^3$, the numbers $b_2, h^{1,1}, h^{0,2} = h^{2,0} = p_g$ depend only on d and are constant in the family of smooth surfaces. Further Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence for X degenerates at E_1 and crystalline cohomology of X is torsion-free. Since $b_1 = 0$ we see that $c_2 > 0$. Thus all the hypothesis of Proposition 4.7.3 are satisfied except possibly the hypothesis that $m^{1,1} \geq 4p_g$.

From the formula for Hodge-Witt numbers we have

$$h^{1,1} = h^{1,1}_W = m^{1,1} - 2T^{0,2},$$

and as $h^{1,1}$ is constant in this family (as it depends only on the degree), while $T^{0,2}$ can only increases under specialization (this is a result of Richard Crew [5]), so we see that $m^{1,1}$ must

also increase under specialization. At any rate we have $h^{1,1} \leq m^{1,1}$. So it suffices to prove that $h^{1,1} \geq 4p_g$. Now by Table 2, we see that $h^{1,1} = b_2 - 2p_g$ and simple calculation shows

$$h^{1,1} - 4p_g = 2d^2 - 5d + 4 \tag{4.7.5}$$

which is positive for $d \geq 5$. Hence for $d \geq 5$,

$$m^{1,1} \geq h^{1,1} > 4p_g > 2p_g. \tag{4.7.6}$$

□

Remark 4.7.7. It seems reasonable to expect that for large c_1^2, c_2, in the moduli of smooth, projective surfaces of general type, there is a Zariski open set of an irreducible component(s) consisting of Mazur-Ogus surfaces where $m^{1,1} \geq 4p_g$ holds.

The following result, while not best possible, shows how we can use preceding ideas to obtain a Chern class inequality under reasonable geometric hypothesis. We do not know a result of comparable strength which can be proved by purely geometric means.

Theorem 4.7.8. Let X be a smooth, projective, minimal surface of general type. Assume

(1) $c_2 > 0$,
(2) $p_g > 0$,
(3) X is Hodge-Witt,
(4) $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced or $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsion free,
(5) and $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ has no slope $< \frac{1}{2}$.

Then

$$c_1^2 \leq 5c_2.$$

Remark 4.7.9. Before proving the theorem let us remark that in a smooth family of surfaces the Hodge-Witt locus is open (this is a result of Crew, see [5]); the slope condition in our hypothesis is a closed condition in Newton strata (Newton polygon of X lies on or above a finite list of polygons). So these two conditions provide a locally closed subset of base space. If we consider moduli of surfaces with fixed c_1^2, c_2, then by [26] if p is larger than a constant depending only on c_1^2, then $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced. So our hypothesis while not generic in the moduli are relatively harmless.

Proof of Theorem 4.7.8. Before proceeding let us make two remarks. Firstly the assumption that the slopes of Frobenius on $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ are $\geq \frac{1}{2}$, together with the assumption that $p_g > 0$ says that X is Hodge-Witt but not ordinary (by Mazur's proof of Katz's conjecture); secondly we see that $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 1$. This follows from Noether's formula 2.19.1, and the fact that for X minimal of general type, $c_1^2 \geq 1$. Observe that $c_2 > 0$ is necessary for $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$ to hold (as $c_1^2 \geq 1$). Thus this hypothesis (that $c_2 > 0$) is at any rate required if we wish to consider chern class inequality of Bogomolov Miyaoka type to hold. Moreover our hypothesis that $H^2_{\mathrm{cris}}(X/W)$ is torsion-free implies $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced (see [16, Remark 6.4, page 641]).

Proposition 4.7.1 shows that we have to prove that $m^{1,1} \geq 2p_g$ (under our hypothesis). We now prove this inequality under our hypothesis $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced and X is Hodge-Witt and $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ satisfies the stated slope condition.

We begin by recalling the formula for $m^{1,1}$ (see 2.22).

$$m^{1,1} = \sum_{\lambda \in [0,1[} \lambda m_\lambda + \sum_{\lambda \in [1,2[} (2-\lambda) m_\lambda, \tag{4.7.10}$$

which, on writing $m_0 = \dim H^2_{cris}(X/W)_{[0]}$, $m_1 = \dim H^2_{cris}(X/W)_{[1]}$, and noting that m_0 does not contribute to $m^{1,1}$, can be written as

$$m^{1,1} = \sum_{\lambda\in]0,1[} \lambda m_\lambda + m_1 + \sum_{\lambda\in]1,2[} (2-\lambda)m_\lambda. \tag{4.7.11}$$

Poincaré duality says that if λ is a slope of $H^2_{\text{cris}}(X/W)$ then $\lambda' = 2-\lambda$ is also a slope of $H^2_{\text{cris}}(X/W)$. Further under Poincaré duality we get $m_\lambda = m_{\lambda'}$. Hence for any $\nu \in]1,2[$ we have

$$(2-\nu)m_\nu = (2-\nu)m_{\nu'} = \nu' m_{\nu'}, \tag{4.7.12}$$

and for any $\nu \in]1,2[$, $\nu' \in]0,1[$. Thus we see that

$$\sum_{\lambda\in]0,1[} \lambda m_\lambda + \sum_{\lambda\in]1,2[} (2-\lambda)m_\lambda = 2\sum_{\lambda} \lambda m_\lambda \tag{4.7.13}$$

Thus we get

$$m^{1,1} = m_1 + 2\sum_{\lambda\in]0,1[} \lambda m_\lambda.$$

Under out hypothesis we claim that the sum on the right in the above equation is $\geq 2p_g$. This will involve the assumption that $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced and the assumption that X is Hodge-Witt. The assumption that X is Hodge-Witt says that $H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_X))$ is a finite type W-module (see [16]). Our hypothesis that $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced means V is injective on $H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_X))$. We claim that $H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_X))$ is a free, finite type W-module. If $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsion-free, then this is an immediate consequence of the existence of the Hodge-Witt decomposition of $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ (see [19, Theorem 4.5, page 202]):

$$H^2_{cris}(X/W) = H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X)) \oplus H^1(X, W\Omega^1_X) \oplus H^0(X, W\Omega^2_X), \tag{4.7.14}$$

which implies that $H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_X))$ is torsion-free.

Now suppose instead that $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced. We want to prove that $H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$ is torsion-free. We note that X is Hodge-Witt so we see that $H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$ is finite type as a W-module and profinite (as a $W[F,V]$-module). Now $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced, by [16, Remark 6.4,page 641], gives us the injectivity of V on $H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$. Hence $H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$ is a Cartier module (see [19, Def. 2.4]). So by [19, Proposition 2.5(d), page 99] $H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$ is free of finite type over W. In particular we have an exact sequence of

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_X)) \xrightarrow{V} H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_X)) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{4.7.15}$$

which shows that the W-rank of the former is at least $p_g > 0$.

Let us remind the reader that the degeneration of the slope spectral sequence modulo torsion (see [16]) or the existence of the Hodge-Witt decomposition of $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ (as above) shows that the slopes $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ of $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ live in $H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_X))$.

Next note that for λ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda < 1 \tag{4.7.16}$$

we have

$$\lambda \geq 1 - \lambda > 0.$$

Thus we have

$$\sum_{\lambda\in]0,1[} \lambda m_\lambda \geq \sum_{\lambda\in]0,1[} (1-\lambda)m_\lambda. \tag{4.7.17}$$

We claim now that

$$\sum_{\lambda\in]0,1[} (1-\lambda)m_\lambda = \dim H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_X))/VH^2(W(\mathcal{O}_X)). \tag{4.7.18}$$

This is standard and is a consequence of the proof of [5, Lemma 3]–we state Crew's result explicitly as Lemma 4.7.21 (below) for convenient reference. Finally the above exact sequence shows that

$$H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_X))/VH^2(W(\mathcal{O}_X)) \simeq H^2(\mathcal{O}_X), \tag{4.7.19}$$

and hence that the sum is $\geq p_g > 0$, and therefore

$$m^{1,1} \geq m_1 + 2p_g > 2p_g, \tag{4.7.20}$$

as $m_1 \geq 1$ (by projectivity of X) so we are done by Proposition 4.7.1. □

Lemma 4.7.21. [5, Lemma 3] Let M be a $R^0 = W[F,V]$-module (with $FV = p$) and such that M is finitely generated and free as a W-module. Assume that the slopes of Frobenius on M satisfy $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. Then

$$\text{length}(M/VM) = \sum_\lambda (1-\lambda)m_\lambda.$$

Remark 4.7.22. Let us give examples of surfaces of general type which satisfy the hypothesis of our theorem. In general construction of Hodge-Witt but non-ordinary surfaces is difficult. Suppose C, C' are smooth, proper curves over k (perfect) with genus ≥ 2, and that C is ordinary and C' has slopes of Frobenius $\geq 1/2$ in $H^1_{cris}(C'/W)$. Such curves exist–for example there exist curves of genus ≥ 2 whose Jacobian is a supersingular abelian variety. Now let $X = C \times_k C'$. Then by a well-known theorem of Katz and Ekedahl [10, Proposition 2.1(iii)], X is Hodge-Witt and by Kunneth formula, the slopes of Frobenius on $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ are $\geq 1/2$. The other hypothesis of Theorem 4.7.8 are clearly satisfied.

4.8. **On $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$ for supersingular surfaces.** In this subsection, we will say that X is a *supersingular surface* if $H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X)) \otimes_W K = 0$ (here K is the quotient field of W). This means that $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ has no slopes in $[0,1)$ and hence by Poincaré duality, it has no slopes in $(1,2]$. Thus $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is pure slope one. Under reasonable assumptions the following dichotomy holds:

Proposition 4.8.1. Let X be a smooth, projective surface over a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. Assume

(1) X is a minimal surface of general type,
(2) $p_g > 0$
(3) $c_2 > 0$,
(4) X is Mazur-Ogus,
(5) X is supersingular.

Then either

$$c_1^2 \leq 5c_2,$$

or

$$c_2 < 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_X),$$

and in the second case no smooth deformation of X admits any flat lifting to characteristic zero.

Proof. Since X is Mazur-Ogus (i.e. Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence of X degenerates at E_1, and crystalline cohomology of X is torsion-free, and X is supersingular, so we see that

$$b_2 = h^{2,0} + h^{1,1} + h^{0,2}, \tag{4.8.2}$$

$$m^{1,1} = b_2. \tag{4.8.3}$$

Thus 2.26.1 gives

$$h^{1,1}_W = h^{1,1} = b_2 - 2p_g = m^{1,1} - 2T^{0,2} = b_2 - 2T^{0,2}, \tag{4.8.4}$$

which gives $T^{0,2} = p_g > 0$, so X is not Hodge-Witt. Further we have

$$h^{1,1}_W = h^{1,1} = b_2 - 2p_g = \frac{5c_2 - c_1^2}{6} + b_1. \tag{4.8.5}$$

This gives

$$6(b_2 - b_1 - 2p_g) = 5c_2 - c_1^2. \tag{4.8.6}$$

Thus we

$$c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$$

if and only if

$$b_2 - b_1 - 2p_g \geq 0.$$

If $b_2 - b_1 - 2p_g \geq 0$ then $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2$ and the first assertion holds and we are done. Now suppose $b_2 - b_1 - 2p_g < 0$. So we get

$$b_2 < b_1 + 2p_g.$$

Now we get from the fact that

$$c_2 = b_2 - 2b_1 + 2, \tag{4.8.7}$$

so that

$$c_2 = b_2 - 2b_1 + 2 < b_1 + 2p_g - 2b_1 + 2 = 2p_g - b_1 + 2 = 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_X). \tag{4.8.8}$$

Hence

$$c_2 < 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_X). \tag{4.8.9}$$

On the other hand note that if $k = \mathbb{C}$ and $X/\mathbb{C}$ is smooth, minimal of general type then $c_2 \geq 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ (by the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality and Noether's formula) so $c_2 < 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ never happens over $\mathbb{C}$. Hence no smooth deformation of a surface with $c_2 < 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ can be liftable to characteristic zero (as $c_2, \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ are deformation invariants). □

Remark 4.8.10. We do not know if the condition (on minimal surfaces of general type) that $c_2 < 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is relatively rare or even bounded.

4.9. **Recurring Fantasy for ordinary surfaces.** In this subsection we sketch a very optimistic conjectural program (in fact we are still somewhat reluctant to call it a conjecture– perhaps, following Spencer Bloch, it would be better to call it a *recurring fantasy*) to prove the analog of van de Ven's inequality [6] for ordinary surfaces of general type and which satisfy Assumptions 4.9.1. Unfortunately we do not know how to prove our conjecture (see Conjecture 4.9.4 below). We will make the following assumptions on X smooth, projective over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$.

4.9.1. *Assumptions.* For the entire Subsection 4.9 we make the following assumptions on a smooth, projective surface X:

(1) X is minimal of general type,
(2) X is not fibred (possibly inseparably) over a smooth, projective curve of genus $g > 1$,
(3) X is an ordinary surface,
(4) and X has torsion-free crystalline cohomology.

The last two assumptions imply (see [19]) that

(1) Hodge and Newton polygons of X coincide and,
(2) We have a Newton-Hodge decomposition:

$$H^n_{cris}(X/W) = \oplus_{i+j=n} H^i(X, W\Omega^j_X),$$

(3) the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence of X degenerates at E_1.

In particular we have

$$H^1_{cris}(X/W) = H^0(X, W\Omega^1_X) \oplus H^1(X, W\mathcal{O}_X), \tag{4.9.1}$$

and

$$\mathrm{rk}_W H^0(X, W\Omega^1_X) = \mathrm{rk}_W H^1(X, W\mathcal{O}_X). \tag{4.9.2}$$

By the usual generalities (see [16]) we have a cup product pairing of $W[F, V]$-modules (here $FV = p$):

$$< , >: H^1(X, W\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes H^0(X, W\Omega^1_X) \to H^1(X, W\Omega^1_X). \tag{4.9.3}$$

Conjecture 4.9.4. For any surface X satisfying assumptions of (1)–(4) of section 4.9.1), the cup product paring of (4.9.3) satisfies the following properties:

(1) for each fixed $0 \neq v \in H^1(X, W\mathcal{O}_X)$, the map $< v, - >$ is injective;
(2) for each fixed $0 \neq v' \in H^0(X, W\Omega^1_X)$, the mapping $< -, v' >$ is injective.

Corollary 4.9.5. Assume Conjecture 4.9.4 and let

$$h^{0,1} = \mathrm{rk}_W H^1(X, W\mathcal{O}_X), \tag{4.9.6}$$

$$h^{1,0} = \mathrm{rk}_W H^0(X, W\Omega^1_X), \tag{4.9.7}$$

$$h^{1,1} = \mathrm{rk}_W H^1(X, W\Omega^1_X), \tag{4.9.8}$$

Then

$$h^{1,1} \geq 2h^{1,0} - 1 = b_1 - 1.$$

It is clear that, assuming Conjecture 4.9.4, this can be proved in a manner similar to van de Ven's proof of the above inequality (see [6]). The conjecture and the above inequality has the following immediate consequence.

Theorem 4.9.9. Under the assumptions of 4.9.1 and conjecture 4.9.4 on X, the chern classes of X satisfy

$$c_1^2 \leq 5c_2 + 6.$$

Proof. Recall the formula of Crew and Ekedahl 2.26.1

$$6h_W^{1,1} = 6(m^{1,1} - T^{0,2}) = 5c_2 - c_1^2 + 6b_1, \tag{4.9.10}$$

where $h_W^{1,1}$ is the Hodge-Witt number of X and $m^{1,1}$ is the slope number of X. If X is ordinary, we see that $T^{0,2} = 0$ and so $m^{1,1} = h^{1,1}$ is the dimension of the slope one part of $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$.

Hence

$$5c_2 - c_1^2 + 6b_1 = 6m^{1,1} = 6h^{1,1},$$

so that

$$5c_2 - c_1^2 = 6m^{1,1} - 6b_1 = 6h^{1,1} - 6b_1.$$

Now by the corollary we have

$$h^{1,1} \geq b_1 - 1$$

so that

$$h^{1,1} - b_1 \geq -1 \tag{4.9.11}$$

and so

$$5c_2 - c_1^2 \geq -6, \tag{4.9.12}$$

or equivalently,

$$c_1^2 \leq 5c_2 + 6. \tag{4.9.13}$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 4.9.14. Assume Conjecture 4.9.4. Then except for a bounded family of surfaces satisfying 4.9.1, we have

$$c_1^2 \leq 6c_2.$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.9.9, $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2 + 6$ holds for all surfaces satisfying 4.9.1. Now consider surfaces for which 4.9.1 hold and $c_2 < 6$. Then, for these surfaces $c_1^2 \leq 5c_2 + 6 < 36$. Thus surfaces which satisfy $c_2 < 6$ also satisfy $c_1^2 < 36$ (under 4.9.1). Now surfaces of general type which satisfy $c_1^2 < 36$ and $c_2 < 6$ form a bounded family. For surfaces which do not belong to this family $c_2 \geq 6$. Hence

$$c_1^2 \leq 5c_2 + 6 < 5c_2 + c_2 = 6c_2. \tag{4.9.15}$$

□

5. Enriques classification and Torsion in crystalline cohomology

The main aim of this section is to explore geographical aspects of torsion in crystalline cohomology. It is well-known that if $X/\mathbb{C}$ is a smooth, projective surface then the torsion in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is invariant under blowups. We will see a refined version of this result holds in positive characteristic (see Theorem 5.6.1). Our next result also provides a new birational invariant of smooth surfaces.

5.1. **$T^{0,2}$ is a birational invariant.**

Proposition 5.1.1. Let X, X' be smooth, projective surfaces and suppose that $X' \to X$ is a birational morphism. Then $T^{0,2}(X) = T^{0,2}(X')$.

Proof. Using the fact that any birational morphism $X' \to X$ of surfaces factors as finite sequence of blowups at closed points, we reduce to proving this assertion for the case when $X' \to X$ is the blowup at one closed point.

As c_2 increases by 1 and c_1^2 decreases by 1 under blowups, the formula for $h_W^{1,1}$ shows that $h_W^{1,1}(X') = h_W^{1,1}(X) + 1$ while using the formula for blowups for crystalline cohomology and a slope computation shows that the slope numbers of X' and X satisfy

$$m^{1,1}(X') = m^{1,1}(X) + 1,$$

here the "1" is the contribution coming from the cohomology in degree two of the exceptional divisor which is one dimensional, so the result follows as

$$h_W^{1,1} = m^{1,1} - 2T^{0,2}.$$

□

5.2. **Gros' Blowup Formula.** In the next few subsections we will use the formulas which describe the behavior of cohomology of the de Rham-Witt complex under blowups. We recall these from [13]. Let X be a smooth projective variety and let $Y \subset X$ be a closed subscheme, pure of codimension d. Let X' denote the blowup of X along Y, and let $f : X' \to X$ be the blowing up morphism. Then one has an isomorphism:

$$H^j(X, W\Omega_X^i) \oplus_{0<n<d} H^{j-n}(Y, W\Omega_Y^{i\simeq n}) \longrightarrow H^j(X', W\Omega_{X'}^i). \tag{5.2.1}$$

5.3. **Birational invariance of the domino of a surface.** The de Rham-Witt cohomology of a smooth, projective surface has only one, possibly non-trivial, domino. This is the domino associated to the differential $H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X)) \to H^2(X, W\Omega_X^1)$. In this section we prove the following.

Theorem 5.3.1. Let X, X' be two smooth, projective surfaces over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$ and let $X' \to X$ be a birational morphism. Then the dominos associated to the differential $H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X)) \to H^2(X, W\Omega_X^1)$ are isomorphic.

5.4. **Proof of Theorem 5.3.1.** As any birational morphism $X' \to X$ as above factors as a finite sequence of blowups at closed points, we may assume that $X' \to X$ is the blowup of X at a single point. In what follows, to simplify notation, we will denote objects on X' by simply writing them as *primed quantities* and the *unprimed quantities* will denote objects on X. We will use the notation of Subsection 2.9.

The construction of the de Rham-Witt complex $W\Omega_X^\bullet$ is functorial in X. The properties of the de Rham-Witt complex (in the derived category of complexes of sheaves of modules over the Cartier-Dieudonne-Raynaud algebra) under blowing up have been studied extensively in [13], and using [13, Chapter 7, Theorem 1.1.9], and the usual formalism of de Rham-Witt cohomology, we also have a morphism of slope spectral sequences. The blowup isomorphisms

described in the blowup formula fit into the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_{X'})) & \xrightarrow{d'} & H^2(W\Omega^1_{X'}) & \xrightarrow{d'} & H^2(W\Omega^2_{X'}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_X)) & \xrightarrow{d} & H^2(W\Omega^1_X) & \xrightarrow{d} & H^2(W\Omega^2_X). \end{array}$$

By the Gros' blowup formula 5.2.1 all the vertical arrows are isomorphisms. This induces an isomorphism $Z' = \ker(d') \to \ker(d) = Z$.

Now the formula for blowup for cohomology of the de Rham-Witt complex also shows that we have isomorphisms for $i = 1, 2$,

$$H^i(X', W(\mathcal{O}_{X'})) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^i(X', W(\mathcal{O}_X))$$

and these fit into the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} H^1(W(\mathcal{O}_{X'})) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_{X'})) & \longrightarrow & H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_{X'})) & \longrightarrow & H^2(\mathcal{O}_{X'}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(W(\mathcal{O}_X)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \longrightarrow & H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_X)) & \longrightarrow & H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_X)) & \longrightarrow & H^2(\mathcal{O}_X) \end{array}$$

In the above commutative diagram we claim that all the vertical arrows are isomorphisms. Indeed [14, Proposition 3.4, V.5] shows that $H^i(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}) \to H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ are isomorphisms for $i \geq 0$. The other vertical arrows are isomorphisms by [13]. Thus from the diagram we deduce an induced isomorphism

$$\ker(H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_{X'})) \xrightarrow{V} H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_{X'}))) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \ker(H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_X)) \xrightarrow{V} H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_X)))\ .$$

Thus the V-torsion in $H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_X))$ of X and X' in $H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_{X'}))$ are isomorphic (we will use this in the proof of our next theorem as well).

Now these two arguments combined also give the corresponding assertions for the composite maps dV^n (resp. $d'V'^n$). Thus we also have from a similar commutative diagram (with dV^n etc.) from which we deduce that we have isomorphisms $\ker(d'V'^n) = V'^{-n}Z' \to V^{-n}Z = \ker(dV^n)$. Thus we have an isomorphism of the intersection of

$$V'^{-\infty}Z' = \cap_n V'^{-n}Z' \to V'^{-\infty}Z' = \cap_n V^{-n}Z.$$

Thus we have in particular, isomorphisms

$$\frac{H^2(X', W(\mathcal{O}_{X'}))}{V'^{-\infty}Z'} \simeq \frac{H^2(X', W(\mathcal{O}_X))}{V^{-\infty}Z}.$$

Now in the canonical factorization of d (resp. d') in terms of their dominos we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_{X'})) & \longrightarrow & \frac{H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_{X'}))}{V'^{-\infty}Z'} & \longrightarrow & F'^{\infty}B' & \longrightarrow & H^2(W\Omega^1_{X'}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_X)) & \longrightarrow & \frac{H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_X))}{V^{-\infty}Z} & \longrightarrow & F^{\infty}B & \longrightarrow & H^2(W\Omega^1_X). \end{array}$$

The first two vertical arrows and the last are isomorphisms. Hence so is the remaining arrow. This completes the proof of the theorem.

5.5. **Crystalline Torsion.** We begin by quickly recalling Illusie's results about crystalline torsion. By crystalline torsion we will mean torsion in the W-module $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$, which we will denote by $H^2_{cris}(X/W)_{\mathrm{Tor}}$. Let X/k be a smooth projective variety. According to [16], torsion in $H^{cris}(X/W)$ arises from several different sources (see [16, Section 6]). Torsion in the Neron-Severi group of X, denoted $NS(X/k)_{\mathrm{Tor}}$ in this paper, injects into $H^2_{\mathrm{cris}}(X/W)$ via the crystalline cycle class map (see [16, Proposition 6.8, page 643]). The next species of torsion one finds in the crystalline cohomology of a surface is the V-torsion, denoted by $H^2_{cris}(X/W)_v$. It is the inverse image of V-torsion in $H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$, denoted here by $H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))_{\mathrm{V-tors}}$, under the map $H^2(X/W) \to H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$. It is disjoint from the Neron-Severi torsion (see [16, Proposition 6.6, page 642]). Torsion of these two species is collectively called the *divisorial torsion* in [16, page 643] and denoted by $H^2_{\mathrm{cris}}(X/W)_d$. The quotient

$$H^2_{\mathrm{cris}}(X/W)_e = H^2_{\mathrm{cris}}(X/W)_{\mathrm{Tor}}/H^2_{\mathrm{cris}}(X/W)_d$$

is called the *exotic torsion* of $H^2_{\mathrm{cris}}(X/W)$, or if X is a surface then simply by the exotic torsion of X.

5.6. **Torsion of all types is invariant under blowups.** Our next result concerns the torsion in the second crystalline cohomology of a surface.

Theorem 5.6.1. Let $X' \to X$ be a birational morphism of smooth projective surfaces. Then

(1) we have an isomorphism
$$H^2_{\mathrm{cris}}(X/W)_{Tor} \to H^2_{cris}(X'/W)_{Tor},$$
(2) and this isomorphism induces an isomorphism on the Neron-Severi, the V-torsion, and the exotic torsion.

Proof. As every $X' \to X$ as in the hypothesis factors as a finite sequence of blowups at closed points, it suffices to prove the assertion for the blowup at one closed point. So let $X' \to X$ be the blowup of X at one closed point $x \in X$. The formula for blowup for crystalline cohomology induces an isomorphism

$$H^2_{cris}(X/W)_{Tor} \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^2(X'/W)_{\mathrm{Tor}}.$$

This proves assertion (1). As remarked earlier, the proof of Theorem 5.3.1, also shows that the V-torsion of $H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_X))$ and $H^2(W(\mathcal{O}_{X'}))$ are isomorphic. Then by [16, Proposition 6.6, Page 642] we see that the V-torsion of X and X' are isomorphic. Thus we have an isomorphism on the V-torsion $H^2_{cris}(X/W)_v \simeq H^2_{cris}(X'/W)_v$. Further it is standard that the Néron-Severi group of X does not acquire any torsion under blowup $X' \to X$. So we have an isomorphism

$$H^2_{cris}(X/W)_d \to H^2_{cris}(X'/W)_d,$$

of the divisorial torsion of X and X'. Therefore in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^2_{cris}(X/W)_d & \longrightarrow & H^2_{cris}(X/W)_{Tor} & \longrightarrow & H^2_{cris}(X/W)_e & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \simeq & & \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^2_{cris}(X'/W)_d & \longrightarrow & H^2_{cris}(X'/W)_{Tor} & \longrightarrow & H^2_{cris}(X'/W)_e & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

the first two columns are isomorphisms and the rows are exact so that the last arrow is an isomorphism. □

Remark 5.6.2. It is clear from Proposition 5.6.1 that while studying torsion in the crystalline cohomology of a surface that we can replace X by its smooth minimal model (when it exits).

5.7. $\kappa \leq 0$ **means no exotic torsion.** The next result we want to prove is probably well-known to the experts. But we will prove a more precise form of this result in Theorem 5.9.3 and Proposition 5.9.1. We begin by stating the result in its coarsest form.

Theorem 5.7.1. Let X/k be a smooth projective surface over a perfect field. If $\kappa(X) \leq 0$ then $H^2_{\text{cris}}(X/W)$ does not have exotic torsion.

5.8. **The case** $\kappa(X) = -\infty$**.** The case $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ is the easiest of all. If $\kappa(X) = -\infty$, then X is rational or ruled. If X is rational, by the birational invariance of torsion we reduce to the case $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ or X is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ and in these case on deduces the result following result by inspection. Thus one has to deal with the case that X is ruled.

Proposition 5.8.1. Let X be a smooth ruled surface over k. Then $H^2_{\text{cris}}(X/W)$ is torsion free and X is Hodge-Witt.

Proof. The first assertion follows from the formula for crystalline cohomology of a projective bundle over a smooth projective scheme. The second assertion follows from the following lemma which is of independent interest and will be of frequent use to us. □

Lemma 5.8.2. Let X be a smooth, projective variety over a perfect field k.

(1) If $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ then $H^i(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X)) = 0$.
(2) If X/k is a surface with $p_g(X) = 0$ then X is Hodge-Witt.

Proof. This is well-known and was also was noted in [22]. We include it here for completeness. Clearly, it is sufficient to prove the first assertion. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \to W_{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \to W_n(\mathcal{O}_X) \to \mathcal{O}_X \to 0 \tag{5.8.3}$$

The result now follows by induction on n and the fact that $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. □

Lemma 5.8.4. Let X be a smooth projective variety over a perfect field. If $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ then there is no exotic or V-torsion in $H^2_{\text{cris}}(X/W)$.

Proof. By Illusie's description of exotic torsion (see [16]) one knows that it is the quotient of a part of p-torsion in $H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$, but this group is zero by the Lemma 5.8.2, so its quotient by the V-torsion is zero as well. □

5.9. **Surfaces with** $\kappa(X) = 0$**.** Let X be a smooth projective surface with $\kappa(X) = 0$. We can describe the crystalline torsion of such surfaces completely. The description of surfaces with $\kappa(X) = 0$ breaks down in to the following cases based on the value of b_2 of the surface X (see [3]).

Proposition 5.9.1. Let X/k be a smooth projective surface of Kodaira dimension zero. Then one has the following:

(1) if $b_1(X) = 4$, then X is an abelian surface and $H^2_{\text{cris}}(X/W)$ is torsion free so all species of torsion are zero; moreover X is Hodge-Witt if and only if X has p-rank one.
(2) if $b_2(X) = 22$, then X is a $K3$-surface and $H^2_{\text{cris}}(X/W)$ is torsion free and X is Hodge-Witt if and only if the formal Brauer group of X is of finite height.
(3) Assume $b_2 = 2$. Then $b_1 = 2$ and there are two subcases given by the value of p_g:

(a) if $p_g = 0$, then $H^2_{\text{cris}}(X/W)$ has no torsion and X is Hodge-Witt;
(b) if $p_g = 1$ then $H^2_{\text{cris}}(X/W)$ has V-torsion and $\text{Pic}\,(X)$ is not reduced.

(4) if $b_2 = 10$, then $p_g = 0$ and unless $\text{char}(k) = 2$ and in the latter case $p_g = 1$; in the former case X is Hodge-Witt and X has no V-torsion; if $p_g = 1$ then $H^2_{\text{cris}}(X/W)$ has V-torsion.

Proof. The assertion (1) is well-known. The assertion (2) is due to [30]. The cases when X has $p_g = 0$ can be easily dealt with by using Lemma 5.8.2 and Lemma 5.8.4. □

Corollary 5.9.2. Let X be a smooth projective surface over a perfect field. Assume $\kappa(X) = 0$ then $H^2_{\text{cris}}(X/W)$ has no exotic torsion.

Proof. The cases when $p_g = 0$ are treated by means of Lemma 5.8.4. The remaining cases follow from Suwa's criterion (see [36]) as in all these case one has by [3] that $q = -p_a$ so Suwa's criterion applies and in this situation $H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$ is V-torsion, and therefore there is no exotic torsion □

Corollary 5.9.3. Let X be a smooth, projective surface over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$.

(1) If X has exotic torsion the $\kappa(X) \geq 1$.
(2) If X has V-torsion then
(a) $\kappa(X) \geq 1$ or,
(b) $\kappa(X) = 0$ and X has $b_2 = 2, p_g = 1$ or $p = 2$, $b_2 = 10, p_g = 1$.

5.10. **A criterion for non-existence of exotic torsion.** Apart from [21] and [36] we do not know any useful general criteria for ruling out existence of exotic torsion. The following trivial result is often useful in dealing with exotic torsion in surfaces of general type.

Proposition 5.10.1. Let X/k be a smooth, projective surface over a perfect field. Assume $\text{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced and $H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$ is of finite type. Then $H^2_{\text{cris}}(X/W(k))$ does not contain exotic torsion.

Proof. Recall from [30] that a smooth projective surface is Hodge-Witt if and only if $H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$ is of finite type. Then as $\text{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced, we see that V is injective on $H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$. Thus $H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$ is a Cartier module of finite type. By [19, Proposition 2.5, page 99] we know that any R^0-module which is a finite type $W(k)$-module is a Cartier module if and only if it is a free $W(k)$-module. Thus $H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$ is a free $W(k)$-module of finite type. By [16, Section 6.7, page 643] we see that the exotic torsion of $H^2_{\text{cris}}(X/W(k))$ is zero as it is a quotient of the image of torsion in $H^2_{\text{cris}}(X/W(k))$ (under the canonical projection $H^2_{\text{cris}}(X/W(k)) \to H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$) by the V-torsion of $H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$. But as $H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$ is torsion free, we see that the exotic torsion is zero. □

6. Mehta's question for surfaces

6.1. **Is torsion uniformizable?** In this section we answer the following question of Mehta (see [21]):

Question 6.1.1. Let X/k be a smooth, projective, Frobenius split variety over a perfect field k. Then does there exists a Galois étale cover $X' \to X$ such that $H^2_{\text{cris}}(X/W)$ is torsion free.

6.2. **Absence of exotic torsion.** In [21] it was shown that the second crystalline cohomology of smooth, projective, Frobenius split surface does not have exotic torsion in the second crystalline cohomology. In [22] it was shown that any smooth, projective Frobenius split surface is ordinary.

6.3. **The case $\kappa(X) = 0$.** We will prove now that the answer to the above question is affirmative and in fact the assertion is true more generally for X with $\kappa(X) \leq 0$. The main theorems of this section are

Theorem 6.3.1. Let X be a smooth, projective surface of Kodaira dimension at most zero, then there exists a Galois étale cover $X' \to X$ such that $H^2_{\text{cris}}(X/W)$ is torsion free.

Theorem 6.3.2. Let X be a smooth, projective surface over a perfect field. Assume X is Frobenius split. Then there exists a Galois étale cover $X' \to X$ such that $H^2_{\text{cris}}(X'/W)$ is torsion free.

Proof. [of Theorem 6.3.1] We now note that Mehta's question is trivially true for ruled surfaces as these have torsion-free crystalline cohomology. So we may assume that $\kappa(X) = 0$. In this case we have a finite number of classes of surfaces for which the assertion has to be verified. These classes are classified by b_2. When X is a $K3$ or an Enriques' surface or an abelian surface then we can take $X' = X$ as such surfaces have torsion free crystalline cohomology. When $b_2 = 2$ the surface is bielliptic and by explicit classification of these we know that we may take the Galois cover to be the product of elliptic curves and so we are done in these cases as well. □

Proof. [of Theorem 6.3.2] After Theorem 6.3.1 it suffices to prove that the Kodaira dimension of a Frobenius split surface is at most zero. This follows from Proposition 6.3.3 below (and is, in any case, well-known to experts). □

Proposition 6.3.3. Let X be a smooth projective surface. If X is a Frobenius split then, X has Kodaira dimension at most zero and is in the following list:

(1) X is either rational or ruled over an ordinary curve,
(2) X is a either an ordinary $K3$, or an ordinary abelian surface or X is bielliptic with an ordinary elliptic curve as its Albanese variety, or X is an ordinary Enriques surface.

Proof. We first control the Kodaira dimension of a Frobenius split surface. By [27] we know that if X is Frobenius split, then $H^2(X, K_X) \to H^2(X, K_X^p)$ is injective, or by duality, $H^0(X, K_X^{1-p})$ has a non-zero section and hence in particular, $H^0(X, K_X^{-n})$ has sections for large n. Hence, if $\kappa(X) \geq 1$, then as the pluricanonical system P_n is also non-zero for large n, so we can choose an n large enough such both that K_X^n and K_X^{-n} have sections and so $K_X^n = \mathcal{O}_X$ for some integer n. But this contradicts the fact that $\kappa(X) = 1$, for in that case K_X is non-torsion, so we deduce that X has $\kappa(X) \leq 0$. Now the result follows from the classification of surfaces with $\kappa(x) \leq 0$. □

7. Hodge-Witt numbers of threefolds

In this section we compute Hodge-Witt numbers of smooth projective threefolds. In Theorem 7.3.1 we characterize Calabi-Yau threefolds with negative Hodge-Witt numbers and in Proposition 7.3.2 we provide an example of a Calabi-Yau threefold with negative Hodge-Witt numbers (the threefold in question is the Hirokado threefold).

7.1. **Non-negative Hodge-Witt numbers of threefolds.** We begin by listing all the Hodge-Witt numbers of a smooth, projective threefolds which are always non-negative.

Proposition 7.1.1. Let X/k be a smooth, projective threefold over a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$.

(1) Then $h_W^{i,j} \geq 0$ except possibly when $(i,j) \in \{(1,1),(2,1),(1,2),(2,2)\}$.
(2) All the Hodge-Witt numbers except $h_W^{1,1} = h_W^{2,2}, h_W^{1,2} = h_W^{2,1}$ coincide with the corresponding slope numbers.
(3) For the exceptional cases we have the following formulas.

$$h_W^{1,2} = m^{1,2} - T^{0,3} \tag{7.1.2}$$

$$h_W^{1,1} = m^{1,1} - 2T^{0,2} \tag{7.1.3}$$

Proof. Let us prove (1). This uses the criterion for degeneration of the slope spectral sequence given in [21]. The criterion shows that $T^{i,j} = 0$ unless $(i,j) \in \{(0,3),(0,2),(1,2),(3,1)\}$. By Definition 2.24 of $h_W^{i,j}$ it suffices to verify that $T^{i-1,j+1} = 0$ except possibly in the four cases listed in the proposition. This completes the proof of (1). To prove (2), we begin by observing that Hodge-Witt symmetry 2.26.1 gives $h_W^{2,1} = h_W^{1,2}$ and we also have $h_W^{1,1} = h_W^{3-1,3-1} = h_W^{2,2}$. So this proves the first part of (2). Next the criterion for degeneration of the slope spectral sequence shows that in all the cases except the listed ones, the domino numbers which appear in the definition of $h_W^{i,j}$ are zero. This proves (2). The second formula of (3) now follows again from the definition of $h_W^{i,j}$ (see 2.24 and the criterion for the degeneration of the slope spectral sequence). The first formula of (3) follows from the definition of $h_W^{1,2} = m^{1,2} + T^{1,2} - 2T^{0,3}$, and by duality for domino numbers 2.25.1 we have $T^{1,2} = T^{0,3}$. □

7.2. **Hodge-Witt Formulaire for Calabi-Yau threefolds.** The formulas for Hodge-Witt numbers can be made even more explicit in the case of Calabi-Yau varieties.

Proposition 7.2.1. Let X be a smooth, projective Calabi-Yau threefold. Then the Hodge-Witt numbers of X are given by:

$$h_W^{0,0} = 1 \tag{7.2.2}$$

$$h_W^{0,1} = 0 \tag{7.2.3}$$

$$h_W^{0,2} = 0 \tag{7.2.4}$$

$$h_W^{0,3} = 1 \tag{7.2.5}$$

$$h_W^{1,1} = b_2 \tag{7.2.6}$$

$$h_W^{1,2} = b_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_3(X) \tag{7.2.7}$$

$$h_W^{1,3} = 0 \tag{7.2.8}$$

The remaining numbers are computed from these by using Hodge-Witt symmetry and the symmetry $h_W^{i,j} = h_W^{3-i,3-j}$.

Proof. We first note that $h_W^{0,0} = h^{0,0} = 1$ is trivial. The Hodge-Witt numbers in the first four equations are also non-negative by the previous proposition as $T^{0,2} = 0$. Moreover, by [11] it suffices to note that $h_W^{i,j} \leq h^{i,j}$ and in the second and the third formulas we have by non-negativity of $h_W^{i,j}$ that $0 \leq h_W^{0,1} \leq h^{0,1} = 0$ (by the definition of Calabi-Yau threefolds)

and similarly for the third formula. The fourth formula is a consequence of Crew's formula and first three equations:

$$0 = \chi(O_X) = h^{0,0}_W - h^{0,1}_W + h^{0,2}_W - h^{0,3}_W \tag{7.2.9}$$

In particular we deduce from the fourth formula and

$$0 \leq h^{0,3}_W = m^{0,3} + T^{0,3} \leq 1$$

that if $T^{0,3} = 0$ so that X is Hodge-Witt then the definition of $m^{0,3}$ shows that

$$m^{0,3} = \sum_\lambda (1-\lambda) \dim H^3_{cris}(X/W)_{[\lambda]}.$$

So that the inequality shows that $H^3_{cris}(X/W)$ contains at most one slope $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ with $\lambda = \frac{h-1}{h}$ (with h allowed to be 1, to include $\lambda = 0$), and so if $T^{0,3} = 0$ then $m^{0,3} = 1$. Thus it remains to prove the formulas for $h^{1,1}_W$ and $h^{2,1}_W$. We first note that by definition:

$$h^{1,1}_W = m^{1,1} + T^{1,1} - 2T^{0,2}. \tag{7.2.10}$$

Now as $h^{0,2} = 0$ we get $T^{0,2} = 0$, and $T^{1,1} = 0$ by [19, Corollaire 3.11, page 136]. Thus we get $h^{1,1}_W = m^{1,1}$. Next

$$m^{0,2} + m^{1,1} + m^{2,0} = b_2$$

and as $m^{0,2} = 0 = m^{2,0}$ we see that $h^{1,1}_W = m^{1,1} = b_2$. The remaining formula is also a straight forward application of Crew's formula

$$\chi(\Omega^1_X) = h^{1,0}_W - h^{1,1}_W + h^{1,2}_W - h^{1,3}_W \tag{7.2.11}$$

and the Grothendieck-Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch for Ω^1_X, which we recall in the following lemma. □

Lemma 7.2.12. Let X be a smooth projective threefold over a perfect field. Then

$$\chi(\Omega^1_X) = -\frac{23}{24} c_1 \cdot c_2 - \frac{1}{2} c_3. \tag{7.2.13}$$

Proof. This is trivial from the Grothendieck-Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem. We give a proof here for completeness. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\Omega^1_X) &= \left[3 - c_1 + \frac{1}{2}(c_1^2 - 2c_2) + \frac{1}{6}\left(-c_1^3 - 3c_1 \cdot c_2 - 3c_3\right)\right] \\ &\quad \times \left[1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1 + \frac{1}{12}(c_1^2 + c_2) + \frac{1}{24} c_1 \cdot c_2\right]_3 \end{aligned}$$

This simplifies to the claimed equation. □

7.3. **Calabi-Yau threefolds with negative $h^{1,2}_W$.** In this section we investigate Calabi-Yau threefolds with negative Hodge-Witt numbers. From the formulas (7.2.1) it is clear that the only possible Hodge-Witt number which might be negative is $h^{1,2}_W$. We begin by characterizing such surfaces (see Theorem 7.3.1 below). Then we verify (in Proposition 7.3.2) that the in characteristic $p = 2, 3$, there do exist Calabi-Yau threefolds with negative Hodge-Witt numbers. These are the Hirokado and Schröer Calabi-Yau threefolds (which do not lift to characteristic zero).

Theorem 7.3.1. Let X be a smooth, projective Calabi-Yau threefold over a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. Then the following conditions are equivalent

(1) the Hodge-Witt number $h_W^{1,2} = -1$,
(2) the Hodge-Witt number $h_W^{1,2} < 0$,
(3) the W-module $H^3_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsion,
(4) the Betti number $b_3 = 0$.
(5) the threefold X is not Hodge-Witt and the slope number $m^{1,2} = 0$.

Proof. It is clear that (1) implies (2), and similarly it is clear that (3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4). So the only assertions which need to be proved are the assertions (2) implies (3) and the assertion (4) implies (1). So let us prove (2) implies (3). By the proof of 7.2.1 we see that $h_W^{1,2} = m^{1,2} - T^{0,3}$ and as $T^{0,3} \leq 1$, we see that if $h_W^{1,2} < 0$ then we must have $h_W^{1,2} = -1, T^{0,2} = 1, m^{1,2} = 0$ (the first of these equalities of course shows that (2) implies (1)). So the hypothesis of (2) implies in particular that $T^{0,3} = 1$ in other words, X is non-Hodge-Witt and so $H^3(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$ is p-torsion. Hence the number $m^{0,3} = 0$. Now by the symmetry (2.25.1) we see that $m^{0,3} = m^{3,0} = m^{1,2} = m^{1,2} = 0$. From this and the formula (2.25.2) we see that

$$b_3 = m^{0,3} + m^{1,2} + m^{2,1} + m^{3,0} = 0.$$

This completes the proof of (2) implies (3). Let us prove that (4) implies (5). The hypothesis of (4) and preceding equation shows that $m^{0,3} = m^{1,2} = 0$. So we have to verify that X is not Hodge-Witt. Assume that this is not the case. The vanishing $m^{0,3} = 0$ says that $H^3(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X)) \otimes_W K = 0$ and as $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ we see that V is injective on $H^3(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$. If X is Hodge-Witt, then so this W-module is a finite type W-module with V-injective. Therefore it is a Cartier module of finite type. By [19] such a Cartier module is a free W-module. Hence $H^3(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$ is free and torsion so we deduce that $H^3(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$ is zero. But as $H^3(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))/VH^3(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X)) = H^3(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0$. This is a contradiction. So we see that (4) implies (5). So now let us prove that (5) implies (1). The first hypothesis of (5) implies that X is a non Hodge-Witt Calabi-Yau threefold so that $T^{0,3} = 1$ and hence we see that $h_W^{1,2} = m^{1,2} - T^{0,3} = -1 < 0$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Proposition 7.3.2. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p = 2, 3$. Then there exists smooth, projective Calabi-Yau threefold X such that $h_W^{1,2} < 0$.

Proof. In [15] M. Hirokado and [33] have constructed an examples of Calabi-Yau (and in fact, families of such threefolds in the latter case) threefold in characteristic $p = 2, 3$ which are not liftable to characteristic zero. We claim that these Calabi-Yau threefolds are the examples we seek. It was verified in loc. cit. that these threefolds have $b_3 = 0$. So we are done by Theorem 7.3.1. □

Corollary 7.3.3. The Hirokado and Schröer threefolds are not Hodge-Witt.

Remark 7.3.4. The Hirokado and Schröer threefolds have been investigated in detail by Ekedahl (see [12]) who has prove their arithmetical rigidity. One should note that the right hand side of (7.2.1) is non-negative if X lifts to characteristic zero without any additional assumptions on torsion of $H^*_{cris}(X/W)$ as the following proposition shows.

Proposition 7.3.5. Let X be a smooth, projective Calabi-Yau threefold. If X lifts to characteristic zero then

$$c_3 \leq 2b_2.$$

Proof. Under the hypothesis, we know that $b_1 = b_5 = 0$ so that $c_3 = 2 + 2b_2 - b_3$, so that $b_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_3 = \frac{b_3}{2} - 1$ and by the Hodge decomposition, $b_3 \geq 2$ is even and so the assertion holds. □

Remark 7.3.6. The examples (for $p = 2, 3$) constructed in [33] has $b_2 = 23, c_3 = 48$ so $c_3 > 2b_2$. For the example of [15] we have also have $c_3 > 2b_2$.

7.4. **Calabi-Yau Conjecture.** Let X be a smooth projective Calabi-Yau threefold. The following conjecture provides a necessary and sufficient condition for X to admit a lifting to characteristic zero. In characteristic zero any Calabi-Yau threefold does not have non-vanishing global one forms (this is a consequence of Hodge symmetry). In positive characteristic we do not know that this vanishing assertion always holds.

Conjecture 7.4.1. Let X be a smooth, projective Calabi-Yau threefold. Then X lifts to characteristic zero if and only if

(1) $H^0(X, \Omega^1) = 0$ and
(2) $h_W^{1,2} \geq 0$.

Since $h_W^{1,2} \geq 0$ is equivalent to $c_3 \leq 2b_2$, and hence we can reformulate our conjecture as

Conjecture 7.4.2. Let X be a smooth, projective Calabi-Yau threefold. Then X lifts to characteristic zero if and only if

(1) $H^0(X, \Omega^1) = 0$ and
(2) $c_3 \leq 2b_2$.

We note that our conjecture implies that $H^2_{\text{cris}}(X/W)$ is torsion free.

Remark 7.4.3. Let us remark that if $k = \mathbb{C}$, then $c_3 = 2b_2$ holds if and only if X is a rigid Calabi-Yau threefold. Indeed if $k = \mathbb{C}$, $h^{1,2} = b_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_3 = 0$ if and only if $H^2(X, \Omega^1_X) = 0$. By Serre duality and the fact that X is a Calabi-Yau threefold we get

$$H^2(X, \Omega^1_X) = H^1(X, T_X) = 0.$$

So X is rigid and conversely.

References

[1] F. A. Bogomolov. Holomorphic tensors and vector bundles on projectile varieties. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 42:1227–1287, 1978.

[2] E. Bombieri and D. Mumford. Enriques' classification of surfaces in characteristic p, III. *Inventiones Math.*, 35(197-232), 1976.

[3] E. Bombieri and D. Mumford. Enriques' classification of surfaces in characteristic p, II. In *Complex analysis and algebraic geometry*, pages 23–42, Tokyo, 1977. Iwanami Shoten.

[4] F. R. Cossec and I. V. Dolgachev. *Enriques surfaces I*, volume 76 of *progess in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1989.

[5] R. Crew. On torsion in the slope spectral sequence. *Compositio Math.*, 56:79–86, 1985.

[6] A. Van de Ven. On the chern numbers of surfaces of general type. *Invent. Math.*, 36:285–293, 1976.

[7] P. Deligne and L. Illusie. Relévements modulo p^2 et decomposition du complexe de de Rham. *Invent. Math.*, 89(2):247–270, 1987.

[8] Pierre Deligne. *SGA 7 II*, chapter Expose XI: Cohomologie des intersections complètes. Number 340 in Lecture notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1973.

[9] T. Ekedahl. On the multiplicative properties of the de Rham-Witt complex I. *Ark. für Mat.*, 22:185–239, 1984.

[10] T. Ekedahl. On the multiplicative properties of the de Rham-Witt complex II. *Ark. für Mat.*, 23, 1985.

[11] T. Ekedahl. *Diagonal complexes and F-guage structures*. Travaux ex Cours. Hermann, Paris, 1986.
[12] T. Ekedahl. On non-liftable Calabi-Yau threefolds. Preprint math.AG/0306435, 2004.
[13] M. Gros. *Classes de Chern et classes de cycles en cohomologie de Hodge-Witt logarithmique*. Number 21 in Mémoire. Bull. Soc. Math. France, 1985.
[14] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*. Number 52 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
[15] Masayuki Hirokado. A non-liftable Calabi-Yau threefold in characteristic 3. *Tohoku Math. J.*, 51(4):479–487, 1999.
[16] L. Illusie. Complexe de de Rham-Witt et cohomologie cristalline. *Ann. Scient. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, 12:501–661, 1979.
[17] L. Illusie. *Algebraic Geometry Tokyo/Kyoto*, volume 1016 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, chapter Finiteness, duality and Künneth theorems in the cohomology of the de Rham-Witt complex, pages 20–72. Springer-Verlag, 1983.
[18] L. Illusie. *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol 2.*, volume 87 of *Progr. Math.*, chapter Ordinarité des intersections complètes générales, pages 376–405. Bikhauser, 1990.
[19] Luc Illusie and Michel Raynaud. Les suites spectrales associées au complexe de de Rham-Witt. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 57:73–212, 1983.
[20] Kirti Joshi. Crystalline aspects of geography of low dimensional varieties II:the Artin invariant. Under preparation.
[21] Kirti Joshi. Exotic torsion, frobenius splitting and the slope spectral sequence. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 50(4):567578, 2007.
[22] Kirti Joshi and C. S. Rajan. On frobenius splitting varieties and ordinary varieties. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, (2), 2003.
[23] N. Katz. Algebraic solutions to differential equations, p-curvature and the Hodge filtration. *Inv. Math.*, 18:1–118, 1972.
[24] W. E. Lang. Quasi-elliptic surfaces in characteristic three. *Ann. Scient. École. Norm. Sup.*, 12:473–500, 1979.
[25] James Lewis. *A Survey of the Hodge Conjecture*. American Mathematical Society, Centre de Recherches Mathematiques, 1999.
[26] Christian Liedtke. A note on non-reduced picard schemes. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 213:737741, 2009.
[27] V. B. Mehta and A. Ramanathan. Frobenius splitting and cohomology vanishing of Schubert varieties. *Annals of Math.*, 122:27–40, 1985.
[28] Yoichi Miyaoka. On the chern numbers of surfaces of general type. *Invent. Math.*, 42:225–237, 1977.
[29] D .Mumford. *Global Analysis*, chapter Enriques' classification of surfaces in characteristic p I. Princeton University Press, 1969.
[30] N. Nygaard. Closedness of regular 1-forms on algebraic surfaces. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, 12(1):33–45, 1979.
[31] A. Ogus. Supersingular $K3$-crystals. *Asterisque*, 64:3–86, 1979.
[32] M. Rapoport. Complément à l'article de P. Deligne "La conjecture de Weil pour les surfaces K3". *Invent. Math.*, 15:227–236, 1972.
[33] Stefan Schröer. Some Calabi-Yau threefolds with obstructed deformations over the Witt vectors. *Compositio Math.*, 140(6):1579–1592, 2004.
[34] N. Shepherd-Barron. Geography for surfaces of general type in positive characteristic. *Invent. Math.*, 106:263–274, 1991.
[35] N. Shepherd-Barron. Unstable vector bundles and linear systems on surfaces in characteristic p. *Invent. Math.*, 106:243–262, 1991.
[36] N. Suwa. *Algebraic Geometry Tokyo/Kyoto*, volume 1016 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, chapter de Rham cohomology of algebraic surfaces with $q = -p_a$ in characteristic p, pages 73–85. Springer-Verlag, 1983.
[37] L. Szpiro. Sur le théorème de rigidité de Parshin and Arakelov. *Astérisque*, 64:169–202, 1979.
[38] L. Szpiro. Séminaire sur les pinceaux de courbes de genre au moins deux. *Astérisque*, 86, 1981.
[39] Shing Tung Yau. Calabi's conjecture and some new results in algebraic geometry. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 74:1798–1799, 1977.

Department of Mathematics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, 85721